# Real World Brake Activity of Heavy-Duty Vehicles

www.cert.ucr.edu

March 18, 2022

Presented by: Brenda Lopez Lopezbe@ucr.edu

Co-Authors: Dr. Heejung Jung, Dr. Kent Johnson



#### **Real World Brake Activity of Heavy-Duty Vehicles**



Non-tailpipe PM emissions become the primary focus as tailpipe emissions are reduced through implemented regulation. Brake wear emissions depend on brake pad material, vehicle category, and driving patterns.

#### Background

The California Air Resources Board EMission FACtors model (EMFAC) assess emissions from onroad vehicles using both laboratory testing and near road environments.

#### In this study:

A heavy-duty vehicle brake activity is assessed based on vehicle vocation by using both chassis dynamometer testing and on-road testing.



## **Project Overview**

#### **Chassis Dyno Tests**

The brake activity quantified: brake temperature, brake fluid pressure, vehicle speed, vehicle position and elevation, and ambient conditions.

#### Central Business District (CBD) cycle

representative of a city bus or transportation vehicle driving patterns.



#### **Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) Cycle** Representative of heavy-duty vehicle urban driving conditions





### **Project Overview**

#### **On-Road Tests**





#### Long Distance Highway Route



Local Riverside City Route



### **Test Vehicle**

- HDV: Freightliner Cascadia 2015
  - 12.8 L Detroit Diesel engine with air brake system
  - Curb weight: 15,892 lb.
  - Total vehicle weight (tractor + trailer): 65,000 lb.



### **Temperature Installation**





0

### Data Collection

- K-type thermocouple
  - 1/8 copper tube encasing
  - 2 mm below brake pad material
- Pressure transducer
  - Brake fluid line to the rear right brake canister.
- Brake datalogger
  - 1 Hz data sampling
- OBD2 HEM logger
  - GPS, vehicle speed, altitude
  - 1 Hz data sampling
- Ambient conditions
  - local weather stations managed by the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS)





#### Brake datalogger



### CBD Cycle: Brake Temperature, Acceleration & Kinetic Energy Lost

deceleration events



- Negative acceleration values were approximately linear.
- the brake pressure varied from 20 psi to about 30 psi with one spike up to 38 psi
- Average kinetic energy lost compared to temperature slope within each CBD cycle, divided by number of brakes:
  - 2.4E-5 °C/J, 2.3E-5 °C/J, and 2.3E-5 °C/J



### UDDS Cycle: Brake Temperature, Acceleration & Kinetic Energy Lost



- 20-minute delay between the CBD and UDDS tests
- brake pressure varied from 10 psi to about 30 psi with one spike up to 45 psi
- Average kinetic energy lost for each cycle:
  - 2.6E5 J, 2.7E5 J, and 2.6E5 J



Values of kinetic energy lost (J) were multiplied by a factor of -1 to display positive numbers during deceleration events

### **On-Road Test: Riverside City**





Values of kinetic energy lost (J) were multiplied by a factor of -1 to display positive numbers during deceleration events

- Average acceleration ranged from -4.43E-1 to -2.56E-2 m/s<sup>2</sup>
- The pressure ranged from 10 psi to 20 psi with a few spikes as high as 33 psi.
- Braking energy lost suggests a higher frequency in the -7.33E5 to 1.43E6 Joule bin compared to the chassis testing.



### **On-Road Test: Highway**





Values of kinetic energy lost (J) were multiplied by a factor of -1 to display positive numbers during deceleration events

- Brake pressure was around 20 psi with several spikes over 30 psi and one up to 39 psi.
- Kinetic energy lost contains negative values attributed to road elevation and travelling downhill.
- KE 2-3 times larger than chassis tests.



11

### **Bench Top Laboratory Testing**

• A lab experiment was setup to evaluate the temperature probe in the "as-found" condition.

12

- Butane camping stove was setup to produce a 3" diameter blue flame ~1" from the surface.
- Data was logged with a CR10x data logger.









Probe tips: brake test probe (bottom) used in the study and exposed tip (top).



# Bench Top Laboratory Testing Cont...

- Overview of the maximum temperature reached after 2 minutes.
- Difference between the exposed tip and Cu probe was about 100 °C to 150 °C.
- The 0 mm was estimated from the 5 and 2 mm depts tests and was not measured.
- Suggest braking temperatures may be low by around 100 C.







- The brake activity widely varied between laboratory cycles and onroad test.
- It should be noted brake activity from the same vehicle can vary as a function of hauling load.
- Brake pressures ranged from 20 psi typically up to a maximum of 45 psi.
- Brake temperatures ranged from 60C to 160C where it is possible the maximum temperature could be over 260C if the correct temperature probe were utilized.





- Utilizing an exposed tip thermocouple to measure brake pad temperature at the targeted depth of 2 mm.
- Simultaneous measure the brake particle emissions along with brake activity to find relationship between brake activity and brake particle emissions.
- Measure brake activity for vehicles with different vocations such as sweeper vehicle, buses, trucks as well as other applications.



#### Acknowledgements

- Funded by National Center for Sustainable Transportation (NCST)
- Supported by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) through the University Transportation Centers program.

www.cert.ucr.ed

- Mark Villela and Victor Moran for their assistance in performing vehicle testing.
- Mac McClanahan for his contribution with the data collection system.
- Darrin Carlson for his vast knowledge on heavy duty truck brake operation and their repair.



16



