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IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CHAMBERS TO AIR POLLUTION MODELS

• **Chemical mechanisms** are critical components of models for predictions of secondary pollutants such as $O_3$ and PM

• Mechanisms in current pollution models have many uncertain estimates, simplifications and approximations

• **Environmental chambers**, simulating atmospheric reactions under controlled conditions, are essential to:
  • Developing predictive mechanisms when basic mechanistic data insufficient, e.g., for aromatics
  • Testing approximations and estimates for almost all VOCs under simulated atmospheric conditions
  • Testing entire mechanisms under varied conditions

• Results of experiments are influenced by chamber effects, so developing an appropriate chamber effects model is important
NEED FOR IMPROVED CHAMBER FACILITY FOR REDUCING CHEMICAL MECHANISM UNCERTAINTY

- Chamber effects and analytical limitations make most previous chambers unsuitable for simulating low ambient pollutant levels.
- Large volume chambers are needed to reduce chamber effects, for studies of low volatility VOCs and PM, and to permit use of equipment with high sampling rates.
- Largest current chambers are outdoors. But outdoor chambers are difficult to control and characterize for model testing.
- Need to test predictions on how temperature affects $\text{O}_3$ and PM formation. Current chambers not suitable for this.
- Most U.S. chambers lack the analytical instrumentation needed to monitor many important trace species.
- The new UCR U.S. EPA Chamber was funded and constructed to address these needs.
DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF NEW CHAMBER FACILITY

• Indoor chamber design used for maximum control and characterization of conditions
• Dual reactor design for experimental productivity and to simplify reactivity assessment
• Largest practical volume for indoors (two ~100,000-L reactors)
• 200 KW filtered argon arc solar simulator
• Replaceable Teflon reactors in “clean room” to minimize background
• Positive pressure reactor volume control to minimize dilution and minimize contamination
• Temperature controlled to ±1°C in ~5°C to ~50°C range.
• Improved array of analytical instrumentation and provision for additional instrumentation in the future
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SUMMARY OF CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS

- Contamination or dilution by enclosure air is negligible when run on positive pressure control. (Volume decreases as sample is withdrawn)
- Light intensity with Argon arc lamp at 80% recommended maximum power gives NO₂ photolysis rate of 0.26 min⁻¹
- Characterization results indicate chamber effects are comparable or lower than in other Teflon film chambers
- Both chamber radical source and NO₂ offgasing can be represented by HONO offgasing at rates comparable to those observed in the SAPHIR chamber
- Good side equivalency in gas-phase results obtained when the same experiment is simultaneously run in the two reactors (except for some NOₓ offgasing-sensitive runs)
# DERIVATION AND VALUES OF MAJOR CHAMBER CHARACTERIZATION PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Derivation</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Radical source (Represented as HONO offgasing)</td>
<td>Model CO – NO\textsubscript{x} and n-butane – NO\textsubscript{x} runs</td>
<td>$S_{\text{HONO}} / J_{\text{NO}_2} =$ Runs 55-80: 5 ppt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NO\textsubscript{x} Offgasing (Also Represented as HONO offgasing) | Model pure air and CO – air runs | Runs 81-168:  
  • Side A: 8.5 ppt  
  • Side B: 12.5 ppt |
| Formaldehyde offgasing | Model HCHO in runs without HCHO source | $S_{\text{HCHO}} / J_{\text{NO}_2} = 10$ ppt |
| Initial HONO | Model char. runs sensitive to initial HONO | 0 - 0.05 ppb |
| $O_3$ Decay | $O_3$ dark decay runs | Loss = 1.1% /hour |
COMPARISONS OF RADICAL SOURCE PARAMETER VALUES FOR VARIOUS CHAMBERS

- Previous UCR Indoor
- Previous UCR Outdoor
- Previous UCR Default Model
- Used for Modeling UNC Outdoor
- TVA Indoor (NOx offgasing)
- UCR EPA Chamber
- SAPHIR HONO offgasing (dry)
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE

“Development of a Next-Generation Environmental Chamber Facility …”

- Funded by U.S. EPA
- Funded chamber construction and initial characterization
- Initial exploratory experiments:
  - Low NO\textsubscript{x} experiments with selected VOCs
  - Reactive organic gas (ROG) surrogate – NO\textsubscript{x} experiments at varying ROG and NO\textsubscript{x} levels
  - Incremental reactivity of m-xylene and n-octane at varying ROG and NO\textsubscript{x} levels
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE

“Development and Evaluation of a Gas-Phase Atmospheric Reaction Mechanism for Low NOx Conditions”

• Funded by the California Air Resources Board
• Primary objective is to evaluate the SAPRC-99 mechanism using previous and new low NO\textsubscript{x} environmental chamber data
• A limited number of low NO\textsubscript{x} ROG surrogate runs carried out for this project
• Data from the CSIRO and TVA chambers also used in this evaluation
• Project completed. Report available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#lnoxrpt
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE
(continued)

“Experimental Evaluation of Observational Based Methods for Evaluating the Sensitivity of O₃ to VOCs and NOₓ”

• Funded by U.S. EPA

• Objective is to provide data to evaluate OBM models using well-characterized chamber data

• ROG Surrogate – NOₓ experiments at varying ROG and NOₓ with measurements of key radical and “indicator” species

• Collaborated with Bill Brune of Penn State University to provide OH, HO₂, and “OH reactivity” measurements using LIF

• Successfully completed 20 dual-chamber surrogate experiments with radical measurements; 40 separate ROG/NOₓ irradiations

• Report and journal articles in preparation
### SUMMARY OF INITIAL EVALUATION EXPERIMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Type</th>
<th>Runs</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{x} Range (ppb)</th>
<th>VOC Range (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characterization</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0 - 200</td>
<td>Varied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 - 25</td>
<td>0.35 – 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde - CO - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>HCHO: 0.4 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO: 15 - 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 - 25</td>
<td>~0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 - 25</td>
<td>0.4 – 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene or m-Xylene - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 - 25</td>
<td>Toluene: 0.6 – 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xylene: 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic - NO\textsubscript{x} + CO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 - 30</td>
<td>CO: 25 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Surrogate - NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>64*</td>
<td>~0.2 - 315</td>
<td>0.2 – 4.2 ppmC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes later experiments carried out for the EPA OBM study
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EXAMPLE DATA FROM AN OBM EXPERIMENT

- **O₃ (ppb)**
  - Experimental
  - SAPRC-99 Calc.
  - EPA 189A
  - ROG = 1 ppmC
  - NOx = 20 ppb

- **OH (ppt)**
  - LIF Data
  - Derived from m-Xylene

- **H₂O₂ (ppb)**

- **H₂O₂ (ppt)**

Experimental conditions:
- Time (minutes): 0 to 360
- Time (minutes): 0 to 360
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE
(continued)

“Evaluation of Atmospheric Impacts of Selected Coatings VOC Emissions”

• Funded by the California Air Resources Board
• Objective is to reduce uncertainties in $O_3$ impact estimates of major types of coatings VOCs where reactivity data needed
• Environmental chamber incremental reactivity experiments being carried out for various types of petroleum distillates, and Texanol®, an important component in water-based coatings
• Experiments carried out at two ROG and NO$_x$ conditions and evaluated using SAPRC-99 mechanism
• Most experiments completed, data now being analyzed
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE (continued)

“Environmental Chamber Studies of VOC Species in Architectural Coatings And Mobile Source Emissions”

• Funded by the South Coast Air Quality Management District
• Primarily supplements CARB architectural coatings reactivity project to allow for additional work:
  • PM measurements during reactivity experiments
  • Incremental reactivity experiments with ethylene and propylene glycols, benzyl alcohol, and butyl carbitol
• Limited experiments to investigate interactions between glycols and aerosols for availability assessment
• Experiments near completion and being analyzed
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE
(continued)

“Updated Chemical Mechanisms for Airshed Model Applications”

• Funded by the California Air Resources Board
• Major effort is updating and improving the SAPRC mechanism:
  • Updating to be consistent with current literature
  • Improving performance for aromatics and low ROG/NO\textsubscript{x}
  • Developing condensed version for models to replace CB4
• Includes limited funding to support mechanism update effort (primarily for aromatics) (~10 runs)
• Project is now underway
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE
(continued)

“Utilization of a Next Generation Environmental Chamber Facility ...”

• To be funded by the U.S. EPA
• Primary objective is to support research utilizing chamber for O_3 and secondary PM mechanism evaluations
• Experiments to be conducted include:
  • Studies of temperature and humidity effects on O_3 and SOA
  • PM and SOA formation characterization experiments
  • Study effects of variable ROG and NOx on and SOA
• Approved for funding. Waiting for contract paperwork
SUMMARY OF CHAMBER PROJECTS TO DATE
(continued)

PM Characterization and Secondary PM Formation Assessment Studies

• Carried out in collaboration with Dr. David Cocker of CE-CERT
• Funded in part by the SCAQMD and EPA projects and in part by other CE-CERT programs
• Experiments include
  • PM characterization and background experiments, and experiments for comparison with data from other chambers
  • Studies of SOA formation from aromatics and the ROG surrogate mixture under various conditions
• Work is underway. Some manuscripts submitted for publication
FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

- Continue O$_3$ reactivity and mechanism evaluation experiments as currently underway
- Utilize the capabilities of chamber for well-characterized SOA studies needed for SOA model development and evaluation
- Investigate temperature and humidity effects on O$_3$ and SOA
- Obtain instrumentation needed for NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$, HO$_x$, and other trace species to improve capabilities and utility of this facility
- Serve as a resource for collaborative studies where environmental chamber measurements under highly controlled and characterized conditions would be useful
- Serve as test bed for instrumentation for ambient monitoring