INVESTIGATION OF ATMOSPHERIC OZONE IMPACTS OF TRANS 1-CHLORO-3,3,3-TRIFLUOROPROPENE

Final Report By

William P. L. Carter

June 8, 2009

Center for Environmental Research and Technology College of Engineering University of California Riverside, California 92521

ABSTRACT

An experimental and modeling study was carried out to assess the impacts of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene on ground-level ozone formation compared to other chemicals that are emitted into the atmosphere. The experiments consisted of incremental reactivity environmental chamber experiments to determine the effect of adding the halopropene compound to irradiations of reactive organic gas (ROG) surrogate - NO_x mixtures representing ambient conditions. The results were modeled using the SAPRC-07 mechanism with the reactions of the halopropene added. The data were reasonably well simulated after adjusting, to within its level of uncertainty, the overall nitrate yield in the reactions of NO with the peroxy radical intermediates. This mechanism was then used to calculate the atmospheric ozone impact of this compound in the box model scenarios to derive the Maximum Incremental Reactivity (MIR) and other ozone reactivity scales. Trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene was calculated to have an ozone impact on a mass basis that is the that was less than ethane under all the conditions simulated. The average ratio of mass-based incremental reactivities relative to ethane for all the box model scenarios was 0.19±0.03 and the MIR ratio was 0.16±0.02. It is concluded that if ethane is used as the standard to define "negligible" ozone impact for the purpose of determining VOC exemptions for ozone precursors, then trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene will meet this standard. The yields of halogen-containing products formed in the oxidation of this compound under various atmospheric conditions were also calculated. Trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropenewas also found to have no significant effect on particle formation in the incremental reactivity chamber experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLAIMERS

The environmental chamber experiments for this project were carried out at the College of Engineering Center for Environmental Research and Technology (CE-CERT) primarily by Qi Li, Kurt Bumiller, and Quentin Malloy. Mr. Dennis Fitz also provided assistance in administering this project, and Ms. Kathy Cocker provided assistance in conducting the experiments and processing the data.

The contents of this report reflect only the opinions and conclusions of the author. Mention of trade names and commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	2
Chamber Description	2
Analytical Instrumentation	4
Sampling methods	7
Characterization Methods	7
Experimental Procedures	
Materials	9
MECHANISM AND MODELING METHODS	
Base Mechanism	
Mechanism for Trans 1-Chloro-3,3,3-Trofluoropropene	
Representation of Chamber Conditions.	
Atmospheric Reactivity Simulations	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
Characterization Results	
Incremental Reactivity and Mechanism Evaluation Results	
Atmospheric Reactivity Calculation	
Ozone Impacts	
Product Yield Calculations	
CONCLUSIONS	
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX A. BASE MECHANISM LISTING	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	List of analytical and characterization instrumentation for the UCR EPA chamber	5
Table 2.	List of model species added to the base mechanism to represent the atmospheric reactions of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and its oxidation products	14
Table 3.	List of reactions and rate constants used to represent the atmospheric reactions of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and its oxidation products	15
Table 4.	Summary of experiments carried out for this project	20
Table 5.	Summary of initial concentrations and selected gas-phase results of the incremental reactivity experiments.	23
Table 6.	Summary of conditions of scenarios used for reactivity assessment	
Table 7.	Calculated atmospheric incremental reactivities for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3- trifluoropropene and ethane.	29
Table A-1.	List of model species used in the base SAPRC-07 mechanism, including the VOC species used in the chamber and atmospheric reactivity simulations.	37
Table A-2.	Reactions and rate constants in the SAPRC-07 mechanism used in this work. See Carter (2009a) for documentation.	41
Table A-3.	Summary of photolysis rates used in chamber and ambient simulations	57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Schematic of the UCR EPA environmental chamber reactors and enclosure.	3
Figure 2.	Spectrum of the light sources used in the UCR EPA chamber, with intensities normalized to give the same NO ₂ photolysis rates. A representative solar spectrum is also shown.	3
Figure 3.	Plots of best fit HONO offgasing parameters against UCR EPA run number	21
Figure 4.	Experimental and calculated results of the incremental reactivity experiments with added trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene	25
Figure 5.	Plots of relative yields of fluorine-containing products in the oxidation of trans $1,3,3,3$ -tetrafluoropropene against relative NO _x levels and days of irradiation in the "averaged conditions" scenarios, and number of days in the MOIR scenario extended on for 9 additional days.	30

INTRODUCTION

Ozone in photochemical smog is formed from the gas-phase reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) in sunlight, and control of both VOCs and NO_x is required to attain air quality standards for ozone. Many different types of VOCs are emitted into the atmosphere, each reacting at different rates and having different mechanisms for their reactions. Because of this, they can differ significantly in their effects on ozone formation, or their "reactivity". In recognition of this, the U.S. EPA has exempted volatile organic certain compounds with ozone impacts expected to be less than ethane from regulations as VOC ozone precursors (Dimitriades, 1999; RRWG, 1999a, EPA, 2005), and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has adopted regulations with reactivity-based adjustments for several types of VOC sources (CARB 1993, 2000) and is investigating their use for other sources (CARB, 2008).

Halogenated propenes such as trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (CF₃CH=CHF), 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (CF₃CF=CH₂), and 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene (CF₃CH=CHCl) are compounds of interest whose use and manufacture may result in their being emitted into the atmosphere. This will result in these compounds being subject to VOC regulations aimed at reducing ozone formation, which may adversely impact their production costs and marketability. If these compounds can be shown to have ozone impacts less than or equal to ethane on a mass basis, a case might be made to the U.S. EPA to exempt them from regulations as VOC ozone precursors (EPA, 2005). Because the ozone impacts of these compounds had not been studied previously, predictions of the ozone impacts of these compounds would need to be verified experimentally.

In view of this, the College of Engineering, Center for Environmental Research and Technology (CE-CERT) at the University of California at Riverside (UCR) was funded to carry out a project to reduce uncertainties in estimates of the atmospheric ozone impacts of 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, using the procedures previously employed for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b,c). This included developing atmospheric reaction mechanisms for the compounds suitable for predicting their impacts on ozone formation in the atmosphere, conducting environmental chamber experiments suitable for testing the ability of these mechanisms to predict their impacts on ozone formation, evaluating and if necessary revising the mechanisms based on their ability to simulate these data, and calculating the atmospheric ozone impacts of these compounds in various reactivity scales (Carter, 2009a), where they can be compared with those for ethane and other compounds. The approach used is similar to that used many other VOCs that have been studied previously (Carter, 2009a, and references therein). The methods, results, and conclusions of our study of the atmospheric ozone impacts of the third compound, trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, are documented in this report.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Chamber Description

All of the environmental chamber experiments for this project were carried out using the UCR EPA environmental chamber at CE-CERT. This is the same as the chamber used in our recently completed studies of trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b) and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c). This chamber was constructed under EPA funding to address the needs for an improved environmental chamber database for mechanism evaluation (Carter et al, 1999, Carter, 2002). The objectives, design, construction, and results of the initial evaluation of this chamber facility are described in more detail elsewhere (Carter et al, 1999, Carter, 2002; Carter, 2004, Carter et al, 2005a). A brief description of the chamber is also given below.

The UCR EPA chamber consists of two ~85,000-liter Teflon® reactors located inside a 16,000 cubic ft temperature-controlled "clean room" that is continuously flushed with purified air. The clean room design is employed in order to minimize background contaminants into the reactor due to permeation or leaks. Two alternative light sources can be used. The first consists of a 200 KW argon arc lamp with specially designed UV filters that give a UV and visible spectrum similar to sunlight. Banks of blacklights are also present to serve as an alternative lower cost light source when blacklight irradiation is sufficient. Blacklights have a good representation of sunlight in the UV portion of the spectrum that affects most photolysis reactions of interest, and their use is sufficient for test compounds whose mechanisms involve do not involve photoreactive compounds, or only photoreactive compounds with known action spectra (Carter et al, 1995b). Since this is the case for the halopropenes studied here and by Carter (2009b), they were used for all experiments for this project. The interior of the enclosure is covered with reflective aluminum panels in order to maximize the available light intensity and to attain sufficient light uniformity, which is estimated to be $\pm 10\%$ or better in the portion of the enclosure where the reactors are located (Carter, 2002). A diagram of the enclosure and reactors is shown in Figure 1, and spectra of the light sources are shown in Figure 2.

The dual reactors are constructed of flexible 2 mil Teflon® film, which is the same material used in the other UCR Teflon chambers used for mechanism evaluation (e.g., Carter et al, 1995a; Carter, 2000a, and references therein). A semi-flexible framework design was developed to minimize leakage and simplify the management of large volume reactors. The Teflon film is heat-sealed into separate sheets for the top, bottom, and sides (the latter sealed into a cylindrical shape) that are held together and in place using bottom frames attached to the floor and moveable top frames. The moveable top frame is held to the ceiling by cables that are controlled by motors that raise the top to allow the reactors to expand when filled or lower the top to allow the volume to contract when the reactors are being emptied or flushed. These motors in turn are controlled by pressure sensors that raise or lower the reactors as needed to maintain slight positive pressure. During experiments the top frames are slowly lowered to maintain continuous positive pressure as the reactor volumes decrease due to sampling or leaks. The experiment is terminated once the volume of one of the reactor reaches about 1/3 the maximum value, where the time this took varied depending on the amount of leaks in the reactor, but was greater than the duration of most of the experiments discussed in this report. Since at least some leaks are unavoidable in large Teflon film reactors, the constant positive pressure is important to minimize the introduction of enclosure air into the reactor that may otherwise result.



Figure 1. Schematic of the UCR EPA environmental chamber reactors and enclosure.



Figure 2. Spectrum of the light sources used in the UCR EPA chamber, with intensities normalized to give the same NO₂ photolysis rates. A representative solar spectrum is also shown.

As indicated in Figure 1, the floor of the reactors has openings for a high volume mixing system for mixing reactants within a reactor and also for exchanging reactants between the reactors to achieve equal concentrations in each. This utilizes four 10" Teflon pipes with Teflon-coated blowers and flanges to either blow air from one side of a reactor to the other, or to move air between each of the two reactors. Teflon-coated air-driven metal valves are used to close off the openings to the mixing system when not in use, and during the irradiation experiments.

An AADCO air purification system that provides dry purified air at flow rates up to 1500 liters min^{-1} is used to supply the air to flush the enclosure and to flush and fill the reactors between experiments. The air is further purified by passing it through cartridges filled with Purafil® and heated Carulite 300® which is a Hopcalite® type catalyst and also through a filter to remove particulate matter. The measured NO_x, CO, and non-methane organic concentrations in the purified air were found to be less than the detection limits of the instrumentation employed (see Analytical Equipment, below).

The chamber enclosure is located on the second floor of a two-floor laboratory building that was designed and constructed specifically to house this facility (Carter et al, 2002). Most of the analytical instrumentation is located on the ground floor beneath the chamber, with sampling lines leading down as indicated in Figure 1.

Analytical Instrumentation

Table 1 gives a listing of the analytical and characterization instrumentation whose data were utilized for this project. Other instrumentation was available and used for some of these experiments, as discussed by Carter 2002a and Carter et al, 2005a, but the data obtained were not characterized for modeling and thus not used in the mechanism evaluations for this project. The table includes a brief description of the equipment, species monitored, and their approximate sensitivities, where applicable. These are discussed further in the following sections.

Ozone, CO, NO, and NO_y were monitored using commercially available instruments as indicated in Table 1. The instruments were spanned for NO, NO_2 , and CO and zeroed prior to all experiments using the gas calibration system indicated in Table 1, and a prepared calibration gas cylinder with known amounts of NO and CO. O_3 and NO_2 spans were conducted by gas phase titration using the calibrator during this period. Span and zero corrections were made to the NO, NO_2 , and CO data as appropriate based on the results of these span measurements, and the O_3 spans indicated that the UV absorption instrument was performing within its specifications.

Organic reactants were measured by gas chromatography with FID detection as described elsewhere (Carter et al, 1995a); see also Table 1. The surrogate gaseous compounds ethylene, propylene, n-butane and trans-2-butene were monitored by using 30 m megabore GS-Alumina column and the loop sampling system. The second signal of the same GC outfitted with FID, loop sampling system and 30 m megabore DB-5 column was used to analyze surrogate liquid components toluene, n-octane and m-xylene. The sampling methods employed for injecting the sample with the test compounds on the GC column depended on the volatility or "stickiness" of the compounds. For analyses of more volatile species such as trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene the same loop method was suitable.

Both the GC instruments were controlled and their data were analyzed using Agilent ChemStation software installed on a dedicated PC. The GC's were spanned using the prepared calibration cylinder with known amounts of ethylene, propane, propylene, n-butane, n-hexane, toluene, n-octane and

Туре	Model or Description	Species	Sensitivity	Comments
Ozone Analyzer	Dasibi Model 1003-AH. UV absorption analysis.	O ₃	2 ppb	Standard monitoring instrument.
NO - NO _y Analyzer	Teco Model 42 C with external converter. Chemiluminescent analysis for NO, NOy by catalytic conversion.	NO NO _y	1 ppb 1 ppb	Useful for NO and initial NO_2 monitoring. Converter close-coupled to the reactors so the " NO_y " channel should include HNO ₃ as well as NO_2 , PANs, organic nitrates, and other species converted to NO by the catalyst.
CO Analyzer	Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. Model 48 C	СО	50 ppb	Standard monitoring instrument
GC-FID Instruments	Dual Agilent 6890 Series II GC with dual columns, loop injectors and FID detectors. Controlled by computer interfaced to network.	VOCs	~10 ppbC	30 m x 0.53 mm GS-Alumina column used for the analysis of light hydrocarbons such as ethylene, propylene, n-butane and trans-2-butene and 30 m x 0.53 mm DB-5 column used for the analysis of C_{5+} alkanes and aromatics, such as toluene and m-xylene. Loop injection is suitable for low to medium volatility VOCs that are not too "sticky" to pass through valves. Two 30 m x 0.32 mm DB-5 column measure C_{5+} alkanes and aromatics, such as toluene and m-xylene.
Gas Calibrator	Model 146C Thermo Environmental Dynamic Gas Calibrator	N/A	N/A	Used for calibration of NO_x and other analyzers. Instrument acquired early in project and under continuous use.
Data Acquisition Sytem	Windows PC with custom LabView software, 16 analog input, 40 I/O, 16 thermo- couple, and 8 RS-232 channels.	N/A	N/A	Used to collect data from most monitoring instruments and control sampling solenoids. In-house LabView software was developed using software developed by Sonoma Technology for ARB for the Central California Air Quality Study as the starting point.
Temperature sensors	Various thermocouples, radiation shielded thermocouple housing	Tempera -ture	~0.1 °C	Primary measurement is thermocouples inside reactor. However, comparison with temperature measurements in the sample line suggest that irradiative heating may bias these data high by $\sim 2.5^{\circ}$ C (Carter, 2004).
Humidity Monitor	General Eastern HYGRO-M1 Dew Point Monitor	Humid- ity	Dew point range: -40 - 50°C	Instrument performs as expected, but dew point below the performance range for most of the experiments discussed in this report, except for those with added humidity.

Table 1.List of analytical and characterization instrumentation for the UCR EPA chamber.

Table 1 (continued)

Туре	Model or Description	Species	Sensitivity	Comments
Spectro- radiometer	LiCor LI-1800 Spectroradiometer	300-850 nm Light Spect- rum	Adequate	Resolution relatively low but adequate for this project. Used to obtain relative spectrum. Also gives an absolute intensity measurement on surface useful for assessing relative trends.
QSL Spherical Irradiance Sensor	Biospherical QSL-2100 PAR Irradiance Sensor. Responds to 400-700 nm light.	Spherical Broad- band Light Intensity	Adequate	Provides a measure of absolute intensity and light uniformity that is more directly related to photolysis rates than light intensity on surface. Gives more precise measurement of light intensity trends than NO ₂ actinometry, but is relatively sensitive to small changes in position.
Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS)	TSI 3080L column, TSI 3077 ⁸⁵ Kr neutralizer, and TSI 3771 CPC. Instrument design, control, and operation Similar to that described in Cocker et al. (2001)	Aerosol number and size distribut- ions	Adequate	Provides information on size distribution of aerosols in the 28-735 nm size range, which accounts for most of the aerosol mass formed in our experiments. Data can be used to assess effects of VOCs on secondary PM formation.

m-xylene in ultrapure nitrogen. Analyses of the span mixture were conducted approximately every day an experiment was run, and the results were tracked for consistency.

The surrogate components analyzed by the above system were calibrated by repeated analysis of a standard mixture containing these compounds, and verified by injecting and sampling known amounts of the compound in calibration chamber of known volume. The amounts of gaseous compounds injected were determined by vacuum methods, using an MKS Baratron precision pressure gauge, and bulbs of known volume, determined by weighing when filled with water. The amounts of liquid compounds injected were determined by measuring amounts injected using microliter syringes. The volumes of the calibration chambers were determined by injecting and analyzing compounds whose analyses have been calibrated previously.

The GC analysis of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene was calibrated by injecting a quantitative amount of the compound in the chamber reactors. The chamber reactors have a known volume and therefore contain a known concentration of the injected compound. The calibration factor was then determined as a result of the GC analyses conducted prior to the start of the irradiations.

As indicated in Table 1, aerosol number and size distributions were also measured in conjunction with our experiments. The instrumentation employed is similar to that described by Cocker et al. (2001), and is the same as employed in our previous studies of coatings VOCs (Carter et al, 2005b). Particle size distributions are obtained using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) equipped with a 3077 ⁸⁵Kr charger, a 3081L cylindrical long column, and a 3771 condensation particle counter (CPC). Flow rates of 2.5 LPM and 0.25 LPM for sheath and aerosol flow, respectively, are maintained using Labview 6.0-assisted PID control of MKS proportional solenoid control valves. Both the sheath and aerosol flow are obtained from the reactor enclosure. The data inversion algorithm described by Collins et al (2002) converts CPC counts versus time to particle size distribution.

Most of the instruments other than the GCs and aerosol instrument were interfaced to a PC-based computer data acquisition system under the control of a LabView program written for this purpose. These data, and the GC data from the Agilent ChemStation computer, were collected over the CE-CERT computer network and merged into Excel files that are used for applying span, zero, and other corrections, and preparation of the data for modeling.

Sampling methods

Samples for analysis by the continuous monitoring instruments were withdrawn alternately from the two reactors and zero air, under the control of solenoid valves that were in turn controlled by the data acquisition system discussed above. For most experiments the sampling cycle was 5 minutes for each reactor, the zero air, or (for control purposes) the chamber enclosure. The program controlling the sampling sent data to the data acquisition program to indicate which state was being sampled, so the data could be appropriately apportioned when being processed. Data taken less than 3-4 minutes after the sample switched were not used for subsequent data processing. The sampling system employed is described in more detail by Carter (2002).

Samples for GC analysis of surrogate compounds were taken at approximately every 20-minute directly from each of the reactors through the separate sample lines attached to the bottom of the reactors. The GC sample loops were flushed for a desired time with the air from the reactor being sampled.

Characterization Methods

Use of chamber data for mechanism evaluation requires that the conditions of the experiments be adequately characterized. This includes measurements of temperature, humidity, light and wall effects characterization. Wall effects characterization is discussed in detail by Carter (2004) and updated by Carter and Malkina (2005) and Carter (2007) and most of that discussion is applicable to the experiments for this project. The instrumentation used for the other characterization measurements is summarized in Table 1, above, and these measurements are discussed further below.

<u>Temperature</u> was monitored during chamber experiments using calibrated thermocouples attached to thermocouple boards on our computer data acquisition system. The temperature in each of the reactors was continuously measured using relatively fine gauge thermocouples that were located ~1 foot above the floor of the reactors. These thermocouples were not shielded from the light, though it was hoped that irradiative heating would be minimized because of their small size. Experiments where the thermocouple for one of the reactors was relocated to inside the sample line indicated that radiative heating is probably non-negligible, and that a correction needs to be made for this by subtracting ~2.5°C from the readings of the thermocouples in the reactors. This is discussed by Carter (2004).

Light Spectrum and Intensity. The spectrum of the light source in the 300-850 nm region was measured using a LiCor LI-1800 spectroradiometer, which is periodically calibrated at the factory. Spectroradiometer readings were taken periodically, though the relative spectra were found to have very little variation during the course of these experiments. The absolute light intensity is measured by carrying out NO₂ actinometry experiments periodically using the quartz tube method of Zafonte et al (1977) modified as discussed by Carter et al (1995a). In most cases the quartz tube was located in front of the reactors. Since this location is closer to the light than the centers of the reactors, the measurement at this location is expected to be biased high, so the primary utility of these data are to assess potential variation of intensity over time. However, several special actinometry experiments were conducted prior to the experiments carried out for this project where the quartz tube was located inside the reactors, to provide a direct measurement of the NO₂ photolysis rates inside the reactors. The photolysis rates used

when modeling these experiments were the same as used by Carter (2009b) when modeling the recently completed experiments for trans 1,3,3,3-and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropenes.

Experimental Procedures

The reaction bags were collapsed to the minimum volume by lowering the top frames, then cleaned by emptying and refilling them at least six times after each experiment, and then filled with dry purified air on the nights before experiments. Span measurements were generally made on the continuous instruments prior to injecting the reactants for the experiments. The reactants were then injected through Teflon injection lines (that are separate from the sampling lines) leading from the laboratory below to the reactors. The common reactants were injected in both reactors simultaneously, and were mixed by using the reactor-to-reactor exchange blowers and pipes for 10 minutes. The valves to the exchange system were then closed and the other reactants were injected to their respective sides and mixed using the in-reactor mixing blowers and pipes for 1 minute. The contents of the chamber were then monitored for at least 30 minutes prior to irradiation, and samples were taken from each reactor for GC analysis.

Once the initial reactants are injected, stabilized, and sampled, the light or lights employed (blacklights in the case of this project) are turned on to begin the irradiation. During the irradiation the contents of the reactors are kept at a constant positive pressure by lowering the top frames as needed, under positive pressure control. The reactor volumes therefore decrease during the course of the experiments, in part due to sample withdrawal and in part due to small leaks in the reactor. A typical irradiation experiment ended after about 6 hours, by which time the reactors are typically down to about half their fully filled volume. Larger leaks are manifested by more rapid decline of reactor volumes, and the run is aborted early if the volume declines to about 1/3 the maximum. This was the case for a few of the experiments discussed in this report. After the irradiation the reactors were emptied and filled six times as indicated above.

The procedures for injecting the various types of reactants were as follows. The NO, and NO₂ were prepared for injection using a vacuum rack. Known pressures of NO, measured with MKS Baratron capacitance manometers, were expanded into Pyrex bulbs with known volumes, which were then filled with nitrogen (for NO) or purified air (for NO₂). In order to maintain constant NO/NO₂ ratios the same two bulbs of specified volume were utilized in most of experiments. The contents of the bulbs were then flushed into the reactor(s) with nitrogen. Some of the gaseous reactants such as propylene (other than for surrogate experiments) and trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene were prepared for injection using a high vacuum rack as well. For experiments with added CO, the CO was purified by passing it through an inline activated charcoal trap and flushing it into the reactor at a known rate for the amount of time required to obtain the desired concentration. Measured volumes of volatile liquid reactants were injected, using a micro syringe, into a 2 ft long Pyrex injection tube surrounded with heat tape and equipped with one port for the injection of the liquid and other ports to attach bulbs with gas reactants. For injections into both reactors (e.g. the NO_x and base ROG surrogate components in incremental reactivity experiments), one end of the injection tube was attached to the "Y"-shape glass tube (equipped with stopcocks) that was connected to reactors and the other end of injection tube was connected to a nitrogen source. The injections into a single reactor (e.g., for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene in the reactivity experiments) was similar except the "Y" tube was not used.

The procedures for injection of the hydrocarbon surrogate components were as follows. A cylinder containing n-butane, trans-2-butene, propylene and ethylene in nitrogen, was used for injecting the gaseous components of the surrogate. The cylinder was attached to the injection system and a gas stream was introduced into reactors at controlled flow for certain time to obtain desired concentrations. A prepared liquid mixture with the appropriate ratios of toluene, n-octane and m-xylene was utilized for injection of these surrogate components, using the procedures as discussed above for pure liquid

reactants. All the gas and liquid reactants intended to be the same in both reactors were injected at the same time. The injection consisted of opening the stopcocks and flushing the contents of the bulbs and the liquid reactants with nitrogen, with the liquid reactants being heated slightly using heat that surrounded the injection tube. The flushing continued for approximately 10 minutes.

The trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene used in these experiments was provided as a gas in a lecture bottle, and was injected into the chamber using vacuum injection methods similar to that discussed above for NO and other gaseous reactants.

Materials

The sources of the NO, CO and the various base case surrogate compounds came from various commercial vendors as employed in previous projects at our laboratory. The trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, with a stated purity of \geq 99.98%, was provided by Honeywell International Inc. No significant impurities were detected in any of the GC analyses of these samples.

MECHANISM AND MODELING METHODS

Base Mechanism

The starting point for the chemical mechanism evaluated in this work is the SAPRC-07 mechanism as documented by Carter (2009a). This is a complete update of the SAPRC-99 mechanism of Carter (2000a), but it is very similar to it in its major features. The reactions and rate constants in this mechanism are given in tables in Appendix A to this report, and complete documentation of this mechanism is given by Carter (2009a). Files and software implementing this chemical mechanism are available at the SAPRC mechanism web site¹, with the chemical mechanism simulation computer programs available there being essentially the same as those employed in this work.

As discussed previously (Carter, 2000a,b, 2009a), the current SAPRC mechanisms consists of a "base mechanism" that represents the reactions of the inorganic species and common organic products and lumped organic radical model species and "operators", and separate mechanisms for the initial reactions of the many types other organic compounds that are not in the base mechanism. The compounds, or groups of compounds, that are not included in the base mechanism but for which mechanism assignments have been made, are referred to as detailed model species. The latter include all the base ROG surrogate constituents and compounds whose reactivities are being assessed (trans-1chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene in this case). These compounds can either be represented explicitly, with separate model species with individual reactions or sets of reactions for each, or using lumped model species similar to those employed in the "fixed parameter" version of SAPRC (Carter, 2000b, 2009a). The latter approach is used when modeling complex mixtures in ambient simulations or simulations of experiments with complex mixtures, but the other approach, representing each compound explicitly, is more appropriate when evaluating mechanisms for individual compounds or simple mixtures. This is because the purpose of mechanism evaluations against chamber data is to assess the performance of the mechanism itself, not to assess the performance lumping approaches. The latter is most appropriately assessed by comparing simulations of explicit and condensed versions of the same mechanism in ambient simulations.

In this work, all of the organic constituents in the environmental chamber experiments were represented explicitly using separate model species for each compound, while complex mixture of emitted species in the atmospheric reactivity simulations were represented using the appropriate lumped model species for the fixed parameter mechanism, as indicted in Table A-1 in Appendix A. The reactions and rate constants in the base mechanism are given in Table A-2, and the photolysis rates used are given in Table A-3. These photolysis rates were calculated from applicable actinic flux or light source characterization data and absorption cross-sections and quantum yields given by Carter (2009a).

The version of the SAPRC-07 mechanism used in this work is the same as that employed in our recent study of 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene, but incorporated several corrections relative to the version used in our study of trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b). These corrections are discussed in Appendix E of Carter (2009a), and primarily concern hydroperoxide reactions that are not important in affecting ozone formation and have no significant affect calculations of ozone impacts of these halopropenes.

¹ Reports and files concerning the latest version of the SAPRC chemical mechanisms and their associated reactivity scales are available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/SAPRC.

Mechanism for Trans 1-Chloro-3,3,3-Trofluoropropene

Alkenes can react in the atmosphere with OH radicals, O₃ or NO₃ radicals, and in general all three need to be taken into account when developing mechanisms for their O₃ formation potential. The rate constants for the reactions of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene with OH radicals and O₃ have been measured to be $4.40\pm0.38 \times 10^{-12}$ and $1.46\pm0.12 \times 10^{-21}$ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively (Sulbaek Andersen et al, 2008). The rate constant for the reaction with O₃ is too low for this reaction to be an important sink compared to reaction with OH radicals, so it can be ignored. The rate constant for reaction with NO₃ radicals have not been measured, but can be estimated by correlation between measured OH and NO₃ radical rate constants for other alkenes (e.g., see Atkinson, 1991; Calvert et al, 2003; Carter, 2000). Based on this correlation, the rate constant for the reactions of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene is estimated to be negligible under atmospheric conditions. Sulbaek Andersen et al (2008) obtained a relatively high rate constant of $5.22\pm0.72 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the reactions of this compound with chlorine atoms, but chlorine atom reactions are not expected to be important sinks for VOCs under most atmospheric conditions, including those used for ozone reactivity assessment in this work.

Therefore, the only loss process for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene that needs to be considered in estimating its ozone impact is reaction with OH radicals. Although no product or mechanistic data are available for this compound, the products and mechanism are expected to be similar to those for trans-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Javadi et al, 2008; Carter, 2009a). In particular, Javadi et al (2008) studied the reactions of trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene with OH and found that the major products were CF_3CHO and FCHO with yields that were "indistinguishable from 100%". This suggests that the major products from trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene would be CF_3CHO and ClCHO

This is consistent with the following mechanism for the OH reaction, and is also consistent with mechanisms for OH reactions with most other alkenes (Calvert et al, 2003; Carter, 2000a, 2008a):

 $\begin{array}{c} OH+CF_3CH=CHCl\rightarrow CF_3CH(OH)CHCl\cdot\\ OH+CF_3CH=CHCl\rightarrow CF_3CH(\cdot)CHClOH\\ CF_3CH(OH)CHCl\cdot+O_2\rightarrow CF_3CH(OH)CHClOO\cdot\\ CF_3CH(\cdot)CHClOH+O_2\rightarrow CF_3CH(OO\cdot)CHClOH\\ CF_3CH(OH)CHClOO\cdot+NO\rightarrow NO_2+CF_3CH(OH)CHClO\cdot\\ CF_3CH(OO)CHClOH+NO\rightarrow NO_2+CF_3CH(O\cdot)CHClOH\\ CF_3CH(OH)CHClO\cdot\rightarrow CF_3CH(OH)\cdot+ClCHO\\ CF_3CH(OH)CHClOI\rightarrow CF_3CH(O+)\cdot+CHClOH\\ CF_3CH(O+)CHClOH\rightarrow CF_3CHO+\cdotCHClOH\\ CF_3CH(O+)CHClOH\rightarrow CF_3CHO+\cdotCHClOH\\ CF_3CH(O+)\cdot+O_2\rightarrow HO_2+CF_3CHO\\ \cdot CHClOH+O_2\rightarrow HO_2+CICHO\end{array}$

or overall:

 $OH + CF_3CH = CHCl \rightarrow CF_3CHO + ClCHO + HO_2 - NO + NO_2$

An additional reaction that needs to be considered is the formation of organic nitrates as a minor route in the reaction of peroxy radicals with NO, e.g.,

$$CF_3CH(OH)CHFOO + NO \rightarrow CF_3CH(OH)CHFONO_2$$

This reaction is assumed to occur $\sim 1.5\%$ of the time in the propene system (Carter, 2008a), but Carter (2009b) found that the best fit to the chamber data for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene was obtained if the analogous reactions in that system are assumed to occur $\sim 5\%$ of the time. On the other hand, Carter (2009c) found that the best fit to the chamber data for 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene was obtained if the analogous reactions are assumed to be negligible. Because the branching ratio of this reaction is uncertain and can significantly affect model predictions, this was treated as an adjustable parameter when

evaluating this mechanism against the chamber experiments. As discussed in the Results section, the best fits to the data are obtained using an overall organic nitrate yield of 2.5%, which is well within the uncertainty for this parameter, and within the range observed for the other tetrahalopropenes we studied (Carter, 2009b,c). This is used in most of the model simulations in this work unless indicated otherwise.

The secondary reactions of the products formed also need to be considered when estimating the ozone impacts of a VOC. ClCHO reacts only very slowly with OH radicals (IUPAC, 2007). It does absorb light to a small extent under conditions of the lower atmosphere (IUPAC, 2000), but the photolytic half life calculated using the recommended absorption cross sections (IUPAC, 2000) for direct overhead sun is over 35 days, if unit quantum yields are assumed. This is too slow for the photolysis to be a non-negligible process affecting ozone reactivity. Therefore, the reactions of ClCHO can be neglected in models for O_3 formation.

However, the secondary reactions of trifluoroacetaldehyde need to be taken into account. This is discussed below. Note that this product is also formed in the oxidation of trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene, and the mechanism used for this product is the same as used in our previous study of that compound (Carter, 2009b).

As with acetaldehyde, trifluoroacetaldehyde can react either with OH radicals or by photolysis. The absorption cross section data for trifluoroacetaldehyde, given by IUPAC (2004), is similar to that for acetaldehyde, which means that its photolysis could be non-negligible under lower atmospheric conditions if the quantum yields for photodecomposition were sufficiently high. However, this compound was observed to loss by photolysis in sunlight irradiation at a rate consistent with an overall photodecomposition quantum yield of less than 2% (Sellevåg et al, 2004), indicating that its photolysis is negligible under atmospheric conditions. Therefore, reaction with OH radicals is probably the only process that needs to be considered when assessing its ozone impact.

Available data concerning the rate constant for the reaction of OH radicals with trifluoroacetaldehyde have been evaluated by IUPAC (2005), and a value of $5.7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is recommended. This is considerably lower than the rate constant of $1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for acetaldehyde (IUPAC, 2006). The mechanism is expected to be similar to the reaction of OH with acetaldehyde, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} & CF_3CHO + OH \rightarrow CF_3C(O) \cdot + H_2O \\ & CF_3C(O) \cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CF_3C(O)OO \cdot \\ & CF_3C(O)OO \cdot + NO_2 \rightarrow CF_3C(O)OONO_2 \\ & CF_3C(O)OONO_2 \rightarrow CF_3C(O)OO \cdot + NO_2 \\ & CF_3C(O)OO \cdot + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + CF_3C(O)O \cdot \\ & CF_3C(O)O \cdot \rightarrow CF_3 \cdot + CO_2 \\ & CF_3 \cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CF_3OO \cdot \\ & CF_3OO \cdot + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + CF_3O \cdot \\ \end{split}$$

The subsequent reactions of CF_3O are unknown, but it probably reacts primarily with VOCs in a manner similar to OH radicals, forming CF_3OH (which is relatively unreactive) and similar VOC oxidation radicals and products as formed in their OH radical reactions. For simplicity, the net effect of CF_3O is represented in the mechanism by assuming it is converted immediately to CF_3OH and OH radicals, where the OH then reacts to form similar products and radicals as would CF_3O . Given the uncertainty of CF_3O reactions, using a more complex and explicit mechanism is probably not justified. The impact of this uncertainty on O_3 predictions should be minor since reactions forming CF_3O are predicted to be relatively minor under conditions where O_3 formation occurs (see predictions of CF_3OH in Figure 5a in the "Product Yield Calculations" in the "Results" section of this report). This assumption was

also made in our modeling study of the reactions of trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene, where CF₃CHO is also formed (Carter, 2009b).

The above discussion focuses on the reactions of the tetrahalopropene and its oxidation products in the presence of NO_x , which are the major reactions of significance in affecting their ozone impacts. However, to estimate the ultimate environmental fate of this compound and its halogen-containing products, one needs to also consider the reactions in the absence of NO_x , and the reactions of products formed under such conditions. The most important reactions in the absence of NO_x are reactions of the peroxy radicals with HO_2 . For the initially formed peroxy radicals, these include the following:

> CF₃CH(OH)CHClOO· + HO₂ → O₂ + CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH CF₃CH(OO·)CHClOH + HO₂ → O₂ + CF₃CH(OOH)CHClOH CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH + OH → H₂O + CF₃CH(OH)CHClOO· CF₃CH(OO·)CHClOH + OH → H₂O + CF₃CH(OO·)CHClOH CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH + hv → CF₃CH(OH)CHClO· + OH CF₃CH(OO·)CHClOH + hv → CF₃CH(O·)CHClOH + OH

The subsequent reactions of the alkoxy radicals formed in the photolyses are as shown above, and the rate constants and photolysis rates are estimated based on those for methyl hydroperoxide. The OH reactions may also occur by abstraction from the C-H bonds in the hydroperoxides but these pathways are estimated to be less important than reaction at the hydroperoxide group and are ignored.

In the absence of NO_x the major additional reactions in the trifluoroacetaldehyde oxidation would be the following:

 $CF_{3}C(O)OO + HO_{2} \rightarrow CF_{3}C(O)OOH + O_{2}$ $CF_{3}C(O)OO + HO_{2} \rightarrow CF_{3}C(O)OH + O_{3}$

with rate constants and branching ratios estimated by analogy with the analogous reactions of acetyl peroxy radicals. The trifluoroacetic acid is assumed to be relatively unreactive, and the trifluoroperoxyacetic acid is assumed to react as follows:

 $CF_{3}C(O)OOH + OH \rightarrow H_{2}O + CF_{3}C(O)OO \cdot$ $CF_{3}C(O)OOH + hv \rightarrow CF_{3}C(O)O \cdot + OH$

with the rate constant for the OH reaction estimated based on that used in SAPRC-07 for methyl hydroperoxide, and the photolysis rate estimated using the absorption cross sections of peroxyacetic acid (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003), assuming unit quantum yield.

Reactions of the peroxy and acetyl peroxy radicals with other peroxy and acetyl peroxy radicals also need to be considered under low NO_x conditions, though they are less important than the reactions with HO₂ discussed above. These are estimated using the general procedures associated with the SAPRC07 mechanism, as discussed by Carter (2008a) and shown in Table 3 below.

The representation of these reactions in SAPRC-07 mechanism is given in Table 2 and Table 3, which list the model species and reactions, respectively. Table 2 gives a description of the model species used and footnotes to Table 3 indicate the source of the rate constants or parameters used and give additional discussion about how the mechanism was estimated or represented. Carter (2009a) should be consulted for a more complete discussion of the chemical operators and other species used in the SAPRC-07 mechanism. A complete listing of the other reactions used in the SAPRC-07 mechanism for the model simulations for this study is given in Appendix A.

NameDescriptionActive Species R1233ZDEtrans 1-chloro-3,3,3-TrifluoropropeneCLCHOFormyl chloride. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.TFACETTrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3C03TrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3C04CF3CHOH)CHCIOH or CF3CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2. Assumed to react analogously to CH3C(O)OO.RF4O0HCF3CH(OH)CHCIOH or CF3CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected.RF4OHCF3CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF3C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide.CF3OOHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF3CO2HTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactiveSteady State SpeciesCF3OCF3OTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react swith VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF3OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3OOH <t< th=""><th></th><th></th></t<>		
Active SpeciesR1233ZDEtrans 1-chloro-3,3,3-TrifluoropropeneCLCHOFormyl chloride. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.TFACETTrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3CO3Trifluoro acetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH ₃ C(O)OO·.CF3PANTrifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN.RF4OOHCF ₃ CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO ₂ . Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected.RF4OHCF ₃ CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF ₃ C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide.CF3OOHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogous to methyl hydroperoxide.CF3CO3HTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF ₃ OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO ₃ (100% yields) and RO ₂ (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for frimpl chloride.xCF3OOAs above, except for frimpl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for frimpl chloride.x	Name	Description
 R1233ZDE trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-Trifluoropropene CLCHO Formyl chloride. Assumed to be relatively unreactive. TFACET Trifluoroacetaldehyde CF3CO3 Trifluoroacetaldehyde CF3CO3 Trifluoroacetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH₃C(O)OO. CF3PAN Trifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN. RF4OOH CF₃CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO₂. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected. RF4OH CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF₃C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive. CF3OOH Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to be relatively noreactive. CF3CO3H Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to be relatively neactive. CF3OOH Trifluoroacetic acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid. CF3CO2H Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive. CF3OOH Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive. CF3OOH Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3OOH Formation of CF₃CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOOH following RO₂ + HO₂ reactions. or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂	Active Species	
CLCHOFormyl chloride. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.TFACETTrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3C03TrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3C04Trifluoroacetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH3C(O)OO.CF3PANTrifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN.RF400HCF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected.RF40HCF3CH(OH)CHClOH, CF3C(O)CHClOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF30OHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.CF3CO2HTrifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF30OTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactiveSteady State SpeciesCF30Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF3OH and formation of products formed in Peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF30As above, except for frifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF400HFormation of CF3CH(OH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO2 + HO2 reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO2 + RC(O)OO and RO2 + RO2 reactions.xCF30 <td< td=""><td>R1233ZDE</td><td>trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-Trifluoropropene</td></td<>	R1233ZDE	trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-Trifluoropropene
TFACETTrifluoroacetaldehydeCF3C03Trifluoro acetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH3C(O)OO:CF3PANTrifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN.RF40OHCF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropen and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected.RF40HCF3CH(OH)CHClOH, CF3C(O)CHClOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide.CF3OOHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.CF3CO2HTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF3OH and formation of products formed in Peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except	CLCHO	Formyl chloride. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.
 CF3CO3 Trifluoro acetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH₃C(O)OO. CF3PAN Trifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN. CF₃CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO₂. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected. RF4OH CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF₃C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide. CF3OOH Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid. CF3CO2H Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3O As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals proportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. 	TFACET	Trifluoroacetaldehyde
CF3PANTrifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN.RF40OH $CF_3CH(OOH)CHClOH \text{ or } CF_3CH(OH)CHClOOH formed following the reactions of OHwith the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2.Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bondsneglected.RF4OHCF_3CH(OH)CHClOH, CF_3C(O)CHClOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formedfollowing OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionationwith other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxideand photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.CF3CO2HTrifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect beingformation of CF3OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions withNO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF4OOHFormation of CF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO2 + HO2reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO2 + RC(O)OO- andRO2 + RO2 reactions.$	CF3CO3	Trifluoro acetyl peroxy radicals. Assumed to react analogously to CH ₃ C(O)OO.
RF40OH $CF_3CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF_3CH(OH)CHCIOOH formed following the reactions of OHwith the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO2.Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bondsneglected.RF40HCF_3CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF_3C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formedfollowing OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionationwith other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.CF3OOHTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide.Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3CO2HTrifluoromethylhydroperoxide acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxideand photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.CF3CO2HTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect beingformation of CF_3OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions withNO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OxCF3OFormation of CF_3CH(OOH)CHCIOH or CF_3CH(OH)CHCIOOH following RO2 + HO2reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO2 + RC(O)OO- andRO2 + RO2 reactions.$	CF3PAN	Trifluoroperoxyacetyl nitrate. Assumed to react analogously to PAN.
 RF4OH CF₃CH(OH)CHCIOH, CF₃C(O)CHCIOH or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive. CF3OOH Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide. CF3CO3H Trifluoroperoxyacetic acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid. CF3CO2H Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively reactive. CF3OH Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive Steady State Species CF3O Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3O As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yRF4OOH Formation of CF₃CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO₂ + HO₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. 	RF4OOH	CF ₃ CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHClOOH formed following the reactions of OH with the tetrahalopropene and reaction of the subsequently formed peroxy radical with HO ₂ . Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide, with reactions at the C-H bonds neglected.
 CF3OOH Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide. CF3CO3H Trifluoroperoxyacetic acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid. CF3CO2H Trifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive. CF3OH Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive Steady State Species CF3O Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3O As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yRF4OOH Formation of CF₃CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO₂ + HO₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. 	RF4OH	$CF_3CH(OH)CHClOH$, $CF_3C(O)CHClOH$ or isomers formed when peroxy radicals formed following OH + trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reaction reacts by disproportionation with other peroxy radicals. Assumed to be relatively unreactive.
CF3CO3HTrifluoroperoxyacetic acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.CF3CO2HTrifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive.CF3OHTrifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactiveSteady State SpeciesState SpeciesCF3OTrifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF ₃ OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO ₃ (100% yields) and RO ₂ (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF4OOHFormation of CF ₃ CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO ₂ + HO ₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO ₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO ₂ + RO ₂ reactions.vCF3OOHAs above, but for CF ₃ OOH	CF3OOH	Trifluoromethylhydroperoxide. Assumed to react analogously to methyl hydroperoxide.
 CF3CO2H Trifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive. CF3OH Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive Steady State Species CF3O Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3O As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yRF4OOH Formation of CF₃CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO₂ + HO₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. 	CF3CO3H	Trifluoroperoxyacetic acid. OH reaction assumed to be analogous to methyl hydroperoxide and photolysis estimated using absorption cross sections for peroxyacetic acid.
 CF3OH Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive Steady State Species CF3O Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF₃OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions. xTFACET Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO₃ (100% yields) and RO₂ (50% yields) xCLCHO As above, except for formyl chloride. xCF3O As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals yRF4OOH Formation of CF₃CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF₃CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO₂ + HO₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO₂ + RO₂ reactions. 	CF3CO2H	Trifluoroacetic acid. Assumed to be relatively reactive.
Steady State SpeciesCF30Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF ₃ OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO ₃ (100% yields) and RO ₂ (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF30As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF400HFormation of CF ₃ CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO ₂ + HO ₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO ₂ + RC(O)OO· and 	CF3OH	Trifluoromethanol. Assumed to be relatively unreactive
CF30Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF3OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals Formation of CF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO2 + HO2 reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO2 + RC(O)OO· and RO2 + RO2 reactions.vCF3OOHAs above, but for CF3OOH	Steady State Sp	<u>becies</u>
xTFACETFormation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO3 (100% yields) and RO2 (50% yields)xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF4OOHFormation of CF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO2 + HO2 reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO2 + RC(O)OO· and RO2 + RO2 reactions.vCF3OOHAs above, but for CF3OOH	CF3O	Trifluoromethoxy radicals. Assumed to react with VOCs present with the net effect being formation of CF ₃ OH and formation of products formed in OH reactions.
xCLCHOAs above, except for formyl chloride.xCF3OAs above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF4OOHFormation of CF ₃ CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO ₂ + HO ₂ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the RO ₂ + RC(O)OO· and RO ₂ + RO ₂ reactions.vCF3OOHAs above, but for CF ₃ OOH	XTFACET	Formation of trifluoroacetone from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO ₃ (100% yields) and RO ₂ (50% yields)
xCF30As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicalsyRF400HFormation of CF3CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF3CH(OH)CHClOOH following $RO_2 + HO_2$ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the $RO_2 + RC(O)OO$ · and $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions.vCF3OOHAs above, but for CF3OOH	xCLCHO	As above, except for formyl chloride.
yRF4OOH Formation of $CF_3CH(OOH)CHClOH$ or $CF_3CH(OH)CHClOOH$ following $RO_2 + HO_2$ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the $RO_2 + RC(O)OO$ and $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions.	xCF3O	As above, except for trifluoromethoxy radicals
reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the $RO_2 + RC(O)OO$ and $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions.	yRF4OOH	Formation of CF ₃ CH(OOH)CHClOH or CF ₃ CH(OH)CHClOOH following RO ₂ + HO ₂
vCF3OOH As above but for CF ₂ OOH		reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products in the $RO_2 + RC(O)OO$ and $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions
	vCF3OOH	As above but for CF ₂ OOH

Table 2.List of model species added to the base mechanism to represent the atmospheric reactions
of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and its oxidation products

Representation of Chamber Conditions

The procedures used in the model simulations of the environmental chamber experiments for this project are the same as those used for the experiments with trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b) and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c) and are based on those discussed in detail by Carter (2004) and employed in the studies of Carter and Malkina (2005) and Carter et al (2005b), updated for SAPRC-07 as discussed by Carter (2009a). Carter (2004) should be consulted for details of the characterization model and chamber effects parameters employed. The temperatures used when modeling were the averages of the temperatures measured in the reactors, corrected as discussed by Carter (2004).

The light intensity for the blacklight experiments declines slowly with time when the lights are new, though the rate of decline decreases as the lights age (Carter et al, 1995a; Carter, 2004, 2007). The characterization of the light intensity for the previous set of reported experiments is discussed by Carter

	Rate	Parameters [b]		Refs &
Reaction and Products [a]	k(298)	A	Ea	Notes [c]
OH + R1233ZDE = #.975 {xHO2 + RO2C + xTFACET + xCLCHO} + #.025 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + yRF4OOH + #-0.075 XC	4.40e-13			1
xTFACET = TFACET	k is variable	e parameter: R	O2RO	2
xTFACET = #2 XC	k is variable	parameter: RC	D2XRO	2
xCLCHO = CLCHO	k is variable	e parameter: R	O2RO	2
xCLCHO = XC	k is variable	parameter: RC	D2XRO	2
yRF4OOH = RF4OOH + #-3 XC	k is variable	parameter: RC	D2HO2	2
yRF4OOH = RF4OH + #-3 XC	k is variable p	oarameter: RO	2RO2M	2
yRF4OOH =	k is variable	e parameter: R	O2RO	2
OH + TFACET = CF3CO3	5.70e-13			3
CF3CO3 + NO2 = CF3PAN	Same	k as rxn BR28	3	4
CF3PAN = CF3CO3 + NO2	4.79e-4	1.60e+16	26.80	4
CF3PAN + HV = #.6 {CF3CO3 + NO2} + #.4 {RO2C + yCF3OOH + xCF3O + CO2 + NO3}	Pho	ot Set= PAN		4,5
CF3CO3 + NO = NO2 + CO2 + RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH	Same	k as rxn BR31	_	4,5
CF3CO3 + HO2 = #.75 {CF3CO3H + O2} + #.25 {CF3CO2H + O3}	Same	k as rxn BR22	2	4
CF3CO3 + NO3 = NO2 + O2 + CO2 + RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH	Same	k as rxn BR09)	4,5
CF3CO3 + MEO2 = CF3CO2H + HCHO + O2	Same	k as rxn BR24	ł	4
CF3CO3 + RO2C = CF3CO2H + O2	Same	k as rxn BR25	5	4
CF3CO3 + RO2XC = CF3CO2H + O2	Same	k as rxn BR25	5	4
CF3CO3 + MECO3 = #2 CO2 + MEO2 + RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH	Same	k as rxn BR27	7	4,5
$CF3CO3 + RCO3 = #2 \{CO2 + RO2C\} + xCF3O + yCF3OOH + xHO2 + yROOH + xCCHO$	Same	k as rxn BR27	7	4,5
$CF3CO3 + BZCO3 = #2 {CO2 + RO2C} + xCF3O + yCF3OOH + BZO$	Same	k as rxn BR27	7	4,5
CF3CO3 + MACO3 = #2 CO2 + HCHO + MECO3 + RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH	Same	k as rxn BR27	7	4,5
CF3CO3 + CF3CO3 = #2 {RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH + CO2}	Same	k as rxn BR27	7	4,5
RF4OOH + OH = H2O + TFACET + CLCHO + HO2	3.55e-12			6,7
RF4OOH + HV = OH + TFACET + CLCHO + HO2	Phot	Set= COOH		7,8
CF3OOH + OH = H2O + CF3O	3.55e-12			6

Table 3.	List of reactions and rate constants used to represent the atmospheric reactions of trans-1-
	chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and its oxidation products

Table 3 (continued)

Reaction and Products [a]	Rate Parameters [b]			Refs &
L J	k(298)	А	Ea	Notes [c]
CF3OOH + HV = OH + CF3O	Phot	Set= COOH		8
CF3O = OH + CF3OH	1.00e-3			9
CF3CO3H + OH = H2O + CF3CO3	Same	k as rxn Ze2	4	10
CF3CO3H + HV = xCF3O + CO2 + OH	Pho	t Set= PAA		11
xCF3O = CF3O	k is variable parameter: RO2RO			2
xCF3O = XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO			2
yCF3OOH = CF3OOH + #-1 XC	k is variable	parameter: R	.O2HO2	2
yCF3OOH = CF3OH + #-1 XC	k is variable p	arameter: RC	D2RO2M	2
yCF3OOH =	k is variable	parameter: F	RO2RO	2

[a] Format of reaction listing: "=" separates reactants from products; "#number" indicates stoichiometric coefficient, "#coefficient {product list}" means that the stoichiometric coefficient is applied to all the products listed.

[b] Except as indicated, the rate constants are given by $k(T) = A \cdot e^{-Ea/RT}$, where the units of k and A are cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹, Ea are kcal mol⁻¹, T is ^oK, and R=0.0019872 kcal mol⁻¹ deg⁻¹. If A and Ea are not given then the rate constant is assumed to be temperature independent. The following special rate constant expressions are used:

<u>Phot Set = name</u>: The absorption cross sections and (if applicable) quantum yields for the photolysis reaction are given by Carter (2008a), except for "PAA", which are given below. Here, "*name*" indicates the photolysis set used. Photolysis rates used in chamber and ambient simulations are given in Table A-3.

Same K as Rxn xx: Uses the same rate constant as the reaction in the base mechanism with the same label. The base mechanism is given in Table A-2 in Appendix A.

<u>k is variable parameter *name*</u>: The rate constant is calculated using variable parameters that are calculated using concentrations of various species. See Footnotes [c] and [e] to Table A-2 in Appendix A for a discussion of the parameters and how they are calculated.

- [c] Footnotes discussing reactions or rate constants used are as follows:
 - 1 See text for a discussion of the mechanism. The rate constant is from Sulbaek Andersen et al, (2008). The overall nitrate yield was adjusted to fit chamber data as discussed in the Results section.
 - 2 See Carter (2008a) and footnotes to Table A-2 for a discussion of the reactions of peroxy radical operator species. xTFACET is the operator that represents the formation of trifluoroacetaldehyde from peroxy radical reactions. yRF4OOH is the operator that represents the formation of tetrafluorohyderoperoxides formed when the peroxy radicals from the OH + tetrahalopropene reactions react with HO₂.
 - 3 Rate constant from IUPAC (2006). The reaction is assumed to be analogous to OH + acetaldehyde.
 - 4 Rate constants and mechanisms assumed to be the same as or analogous to those used for lumped acyl peroxy radicals (RCO3) or lumped higher PANs (PAN2), except as indicated otherwise. See Table A-2.
 - 5 CF₃· radicals are assumed to react analogously to alkyl centered radicals except that CF₃O· radicals are treated as the end product in this representation. Using the general SAPRC07 mechanism peroxy radical operator approach, the net effects of its reactions are represented by

Table 3 (continued)

RO2C + xCF3O + yCF3OOH, where the RO2C represents the NO to NO2 conversions when the peroxy radical reacts with NO, the xCF3O represents the formation of CF3O as a product, and yCF3OOH represents the formation of CF3OOH when the peroxy radical reacts with HO₂.

- 6 The reaction is assumed to occur primarily with OH abstracting from the OOH group, with a rate constant assumed to be the same as used for that process in the reaction of OH with methylhydroperoxide (COOH). See Table A-2.
- 7 The alkoxy radicals formed should be the same as those formed in the OH + tetrahalopropene reaction, so the same overall products are assumed to be formed, i.e., HO2 + ClCHO + trifluoroacetaldeyde.
- 8 The photolysis is assumed to occur at the same rate as used for methyl hydroperoxide, and the products are assumed to be OH + the alkoxy radical. See Carter (2008a).
- 9 The atmospheric fate of CF_3O radicals is uncertain. It is assumed to react relatively rapidly with other VOCs present in a manner analogous to OH radicals or halogen atoms, forming CF_3OH and peroxy radicals. For simplicity, these are represented as forming the same products as the corresponding OH reactions, so the net effect is approximately the same as rapid formation of CF_3OH and OH radicals from CF_3O . The rate constant is set at an arbitrary value that is sufficiently high that the conversion is fast and independent of the rate constant. Note that this is a better approximation than representing CF_3O as unreactive, since this would be a radical sink process which is probably not the case.
- 10 For lack of other data, we assume that the rate of abstraction from OOH is the same as used for methyl hydroperoxide and CF₃OOH.
- 11 Perfluoroperoxyacetic acid is assumed to have the same absorption cross sections as peroxyacetic acid, and to photolyze with a unit quantum yield. This is probably an upper limit photolysis rate. The absorption cross sections used for peroxyacetic acid (PAA) are from Orlando and Tyndall (2003) and the values used in the applicable range are as follows, where wavelengths (Wl) are in nm and the absorption cross sections (Abs) are 10⁻²¹ cm² molec⁻¹. The values for 342 and 344 nm are extrapolated.

Wl	Abs								
280	5.06	294	1.93	308	0.69	322	0.20	336	0.09
282	4.44	296	1.70	310	0.62	324	0.20	338	0.09
284	3.86	298	1.41	312	0.45	326	0.17	340	0.06
286	3.34	300	1.23	314	0.44	328	0.14	342	0.03
288	2.97	302	1.07	316	0.40	330	0.09	344	0
290	2.56	304	0.94	318	0.35	332	0.11		
292	2.26	306	0.78	320	0.25	334	0.11		

(2007), and based on extrapolating the light intensity assignments for the experiments carried out then to the current experiments we assign a light intensity corresponding to an NO₂ photolysis rate of 0.115 min⁻¹ for the experiments modeled in this report. Model simulations of the control experiments for this and the trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b) and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c) projects indicate that this is an appropriate assignment. The blacklight spectral distribution given by Carter et al (1995a) was found to be appropriate for the blacklights in this chamber and is used when modeling the runs in this chamber using the blacklight light source.

The chamber effects parameters used when modeling the experiments in this chamber were the same as those given by Carter (2004) except for the HONO offgasing parameters, which were derived based on results of characterization runs carried out in conjunction with these experiments as discussed

below. As discussed by Carter (2004), the chamber effects model currently used for this chamber represents both the chamber radical source and background NO_x offgasing by HONO offgasing, whose magnitude is determined by the chamber effects parameter RN-I, which is the ratio of the HONO offgasing rate to the NO_2 photolysis rate. The RN-I parameter that best fits the characterization data tends to vary over time depending on the conditions of the chamber, and the results of the characterization experiments applicable to modeling the experiments discussed in this report, and the assignment of the RN-I values used, are given in the Characterization Results section, below.

The initial reactant concentrations used in the model simulations were based on the experimentally measured values. However, the calibration of the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene measurements were based on calculated amounts of compound injected and the volume of the reactors, which were measured by injecting known quantities of CO or NO_x , and measuring the CO or NO_x using instruments that were independently calibrated.

Atmospheric Reactivity Simulations

Atmospheric reactivity model simulations were carried out to derive MIR and other atmospheric reactivity values for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene. The base mechanism, scenarios, and methods used were the same as those used when calculating the MIR and other atmospheric ozone reactivity scales for the SAPRC-07 mechanism by Carter (2009a), so the atmospheric reactivities calculated for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reactivities in this work are directly comparable with those given by Carter (2009a) for the ~1100 other types of VOCs represented using the SAPRC-07 mechanism. The mechanism used for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene is the same as gave the best fits to the results of the chamber simulations, as discussed in the Results section below, and is given in Table 3, above. The inputs used in the reactivity scenarios are described by Carter (1994a,b).

In order to more systematically assess how the products formed from trans 1-clhoro-3,3,3trifluoropropene varied with NO_x conditions, a series of reactivity simulations were carried out using the "Averaged Conditions" scenario with NO_x inputs systematically varied. The inputs of those scenarios, other than the total NO_x emissions that were varied, were derived by averaging the conditions of the base case reactivity assessment scenarios. These inputs are also given by Carter et al (1994a,b). In addition, to determine how relative products yields change in multi-day episodes, an "Averaged Conditions" scenario calculations with NO_x inputs adjusted for maximum 1-day ozone yields (MOIR) was conducted for an additional 9 days. No emissions or dilution was assumed to occur on the subsequent days of the simulations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A chronological listing of the environmental chamber experiments carried out for this project is given in Table 4. These include experiments with trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and appropriate characterization and control experiments needed for the data to be useful for mechanism evaluation. The results of the characterization experiments will be discussed first, followed by a discussion of the results of the mechanism evaluation experiments and of the model simulations of these experiments.

Characterization Results

The individual characterization experiments that are relevant to this project are summarized in Table 4. Except as discussed below, the characterization results are consistent with those discussed by Carter et al (2005b), Carter and Malkina (2005, 2007), Carter (2007) and Carter (2009b,c), and the same characterization parameters were used for modeling. The only chamber effect parameter that was changed when modeling the experiments for this project relative to some of the others concerns the apparent HONO offgasing, which is believed to be responsible for both the chamber radical source and NO_x offgasing effects (Carter, 2004). This is represented in the chamber effects model by the parameter RN-I, which is the HONO offgasing rate used in the simulations divided by the light intensity as measured by the NO₂ photolysis rate. Figure 3 shows the HONO offgasing parameters that best fit the radical or NO_x - sensitive characterization experiments carried out in the UCR EPA during the period of the last three sets of reactors. Note that the best-fit parameters depend on the mechanism used (particularly the OH + NO₂ rate constant), and all these were calculated for SAPRC-07, the mechanism used in this work.

The experiments carried out for this project start at run EPA982, so the applicable characterization data is for the last set of reactors shown on the figure. The average RN-I parameter that fit the results of the experiments with this reactor was approximately 10 ppt, and this was used when modeling the experiments carried out for this project. This is the same as used when modeling the experiments with 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c), though it is slightly higher than the 9 ppt value used when modeling the earlier experiments with trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene. Although there is a large amount of scatter in the RN-I parameter that gave the best fit to the data in these characterization experiments, it should be noted that the simulation of the surrogate - NO_x incremental reactivity experiments, which are the experiments used for mechanism evaluation, are not very sensitive to this parameter. Test calculations showed that variation of these experiments, and does not affect conclusions concerning the tetrahalopropene mechanism that gives the best fits to the data.

For modeling purposes, we use the same chamber effects parameters as used by Carter (2004), Carter and Malkina (2005), Carter et al (2005b), and Carter (2007) for all the other chamber effect parameters. Simulations of the incremental reactivity experiments are also not very sensitive to these parameters.

Other control experiments carried out during this period was a side equivalency test (with the same reactive organic gas surrogate - NO_x mixture simultaneously irradiated in both reactors). In addition, a propene - NO_x control experiment and several pure air runs were carried out, and the results were as expected. The results of the side equivalency test carried out with this project, as with the recent projects with the other two tetrahalopropenes (Carter, 2009b,c) indicated acceptable side equivalency. The results of the most recent side equivalency test are given in Table 5, in conjunction with the results of the reactivity experiments with trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, discussed below.

Run [a]	Date	Type [b]	Purpose and Applicable Conditions	Results
982	3/20/09	CO - Air Irradiation	Chamber NO _x offgasing characterization. 36 ppm CO injected into both reactors.	Results were consistent with chamber wall model used for these experiments. See Figure 3.
991	4/9/09	MIR Surrogate - NO _x side equivalency and perfluoro- hexane test Irradiation	Control for incremental reactivity experiments to test side equivalency and to verify that the addition of the perfluoro n-hexane dilution tracer does not affect reactivity results. 3.5 ppm pefluorohexane added to Side A.	Initial concentrations in the appropriate range. Relevant results are summarized on Table 5. Good side equivalency obtained. As expected, the presence of perfluorohexane did not affect the gas- phase results. No useable PM data.
997	4/17/09	MOIR/2 Incremental reactivity experiment	Incremental reactivity experiment to test mechanism for test compound at ROG and NO_x levels representative of lower NO_x than MOIR conditions.	Initial concentrations in the appropriate range. Relevant results are summarized on Table 5 and shown on Figure 4.
1000	4/23/09	CO - air irradiation and halopropene light decay test.	~65 ppm of CO and ~ 1 ppm each of 2,3,3,3-tetra- fluoropropene, trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene, trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-tri- fluoropropene, perfluoro- hexane and n-butane were injected into both reactors and irradiated. [c]	The ozone formation in this experiment was consistent with that expected using the standard chamber characterization model. See Figure 3. See text for a discussion of the results of the measurements of the organic reactants and their implications.
1004	5/3/09	CO - NO _x Irradiation	40 ppb of CO and 20 ppb of NOx and ~50 ppb perfluorohexane injected into both reactors.	The NO oxidation rate in this experiment was in the range expected using the standard chamber characterization model. See Figure 3.
1007	5/6/09	MIR Incremental reactivity experiment	Incremental reactivity experiment to test mechanism for test compound at low ROG/NO _x ratios	Initial concentrations in the appropriate range. Relevant results are summarized on Table 5 and shown on Figure 4.
1010	5/12/09	MOIR/2 Incremental reactivity experiment	Repeat of run EPA997 except with higher added test compound	Initial concentrations in the appropriate range. Relevant results are summarized on Table 5 and shown on Figure 4.

Table 4.Summary of experiments carried out for this project.

Table 4 (continued)

Run [a]	Date	Type [b]	Purpose and Applicable Conditions	Results
1012	5/14/09	MIR Incremental reactivity experiment	Repeat of run EPA1007 except with higher added test compound	Initial concentrations in the appropriate range. Relevant results are summarized on Table 5 and shown on Figure 4.
1013	5/16/09	CO - air irradiation	Chamber NO _x offgasing characterization. 46 ppm CO injected into both reactors.	Results were consistent with chamber wall model used for these experiments. See Figure 3.
1021	6/2/09	Propene - NO _x irradiation	Standard control run to test experimental and modeling conditions. 20 ppb NO_x and 0.26 ppm propene injected into both reactors.	Results were as expected and reasonably consistent with model predictions.

[a] Gaps in run number indicate experiments whose data were not useful for this project.

- [b] All experiments are ~6-hour irradiations using blacklights. "Surrogate" indicates a ROG surrogate NO_x mixture irradiated; "MIR" and "MOIR/2" mean the target initial NO_x and base ROG surrogate were 30 ppb and 0.55 ppmC and 25 ppb and 1.1 ppmC, respectively. "Incremental Reactivity" indicates that a reactant was added to one of the two reactors.
- [c] See text for a discussion of the purpose of injecting the n-butane and halopropenes, whose gas-phase reactions are expected to be negligible under the conditions of the experiment. The experiment can be treated as a simple CO air irradiation for the purpose of chamber characterization.



Figure 3. Plots of best fit HONO offgasing parameters against UCR EPA run number.

The side equivalency experiment EPA991 also had ~4 ppm of perfluoro n-hexane added to one of the reactors, to verify that the presence of this compound as a dilution tracer does not affect reactivity results. The results indicated that presence of this compound at this level did not affect the results, as expected since this compound should be inert. For most of the other experiments for this project, approximately 70 ppb of the perfluorohexane was added as a dilution tracer. The prefluorohexane data was used to derive the dilution value to use when modeling these experiments, which ranged from approximately zero to 5 x 10^{-5} min⁻¹ (0 to 0.3%/hour).

An additional control experiment listed in Table 4 was EPA1000, where n-butane, perfluorohexane, and several tetrahalopropenes were added to a CO - air irradiation. The purpose of injecting the n-butane and halopropenes was to test whether the measured consumptions of the halopropenes occur at faster rates in this reactor than can be accounted for by gas-phase processes, as was indicated from the results of some of the incremental reactivity experiments. Loss of these compounds by reaction with OH radicals is calculated to be negligible under the conditions of these experiments. The n-butane was injected as a control and dilution tracer because it is known not to have unknown loss processes and can be analyzed with precision. The perfluorohexane was also added as a dilution tracer, but its data turned out not to be useful in this experiment because of GC interferences. However, useable data were obtained for the other added compounds. The results indicated that concentrations of 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene and trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-propene declined in the reactor slightly faster than can be accounted for gas-phase processes. This is consistent with the results of the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-propene measurements during the incremental reactivity experiments with this compound, which are discussed in the following section.

Incremental Reactivity and Mechanism Evaluation Results

The conditions and selected results of the incremental reactivity experiments used to evaluate the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene mechanism are summarized on Table 5. These experiments consist of irradiations of a reactive organic gas (ROG) - NO_x mixture serving as a simplified model of the chemical system involved on O_3 formation in urban atmospheres, together in irradiations of the same mixture with trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene (the test compound) added. The experiment without the added test compound is referred to as the "base case" experiment, and the experiment where the test compound is added is the "test" experiment. The differences in O_3 formation and other measures of reactivity in these experiments provide a measure of the effects of the test compound in a system more closely representing atmospheric conditions than the simpler experiments discussed above, and provide a more realistic test of the mechanism's ability to predict its atmospheric reactivity.

As in previous incremental reactivity experiments carried out in this chamber (Carter and Malkina, 2005, 2007; Carter et al, 2005b), two types of base case experiments were employed. The first is a lower ROG/NO_x experiment designed to approximate conditions where O_3 formation is most sensitive to VOC emissions, which serve as the basis for the MIR reactivity scale, and are referred to as "MIR" experiments. The second is at higher ROG/NO_x ratios with NO_x levels at approximately half that yielding maximum ozone concentrations, and are referred to as "MOIR/2" experiments. For the MIR experiments the target initial NO_x was approximately 30 ppb and the target initial base case ROG was approximately 0.6 ppmC, while for the MOIR/2 experiments the target initial levels were approximately 25 ppb and 2.3 ppmC, respectively. In both cases, the base ROG surrogate mixture representing reactive organic gases from all sources consists of n-butane, n-octane, ethene, propene, trans-2-butene, toluene and m-xylene, and is based on a mixture derived previously (Carter et al, 1995b) as a simplification of ambient mixtures used in the atmospheric reactivity calculations. Earlier versions of this mixture also contained formaldehyde, but this was not included in the current experiments for experimental reasons. As

EPA	Test	Test Cmpd	Base Ru Concer	un Initial ntrations	5 Hr O	93 (ppb)	D([O ₃] Chang	-[NO]) e (ppb)	IntOH change	5 Hr (μg/	PM (m ³)
No.	Side	Added (ppm)	NO _x (ppb)	ROG (ppmC)	Base	Test	2 Hr	5 Hr	(ppt- min)	Base	Test
			Side Equ	ivalency ar	nd added	Perfluor	ohexane	Experim	ent		
991	А	3.49 [a]	33	0.54	37	37	1	0	1	[b]	[b]
		Added tra	ans-1-chl	oro-3,3,3-ti	rifluorop	ropene E	xperime	nts (MIR	Condition	ns)	
1007	В	2.71	33	0.53	36	78	13	41	[b]	[b]	[b]
1012	А	4.26	28	0.57	43	103	22	58		0.02	0.07
	A	dded tran	s-1-chlor	o-3,3,3-trif	luoropro	pene Exp	periment	s (MOIR	/2 Conditi	ons)	
997	В	2.70	24	1.13	84	100	13	15	-4	[b]	[b]
1010	А	4.57	23	1.05	84	117	29	33	-7	0.43	0.16

 Table 5.
 Summary of initial concentrations and selected gas-phase results of the incremental reactivity experiments.

[a] Run carried out with added prefluorohexane to verify that this compound is unreactive and its presence would not affect reactivity results.

[b] No useable data obtained for the time indicated.

discussed by Carter and Malkina (2005), this does not significantly affect the utility of the experiments for mechanism evaluation.

The measures of gas-phase reactivity used to evaluate the mechanisms in the incremental reactivity experiments are the effects of the test compound on $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$, or $([O_3]_t-[NO]_t)-([O_3]_0-[NO]_0)$, and IntOH, the integrated OH radical levels. As discussed elsewhere (e.g., Johnson, 1983; Carter and Atkinson, 1987; Carter and Lurmann, 1991, Carter et al, 1993), $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ gives a direct measure of the amount of conversion of NO to NO₂ by peroxy radicals formed in the photooxidation reactions, which is the process that is directly responsible for ozone formation in the atmosphere. This gives a useful measure of factors affecting O₃ reactivity even early in the experiments where O₃ formation is suppressed by the unreacted NO. Although this is the primary measure of the effect of the VOC on O₃ formation, the effect on radical levels is also a useful measure for mechanism evaluation, because radical levels affect how rapidly all VOCs present, including the base ROG components, react to form ozone.

The integrated OH radical levels are not measured directly, but can be derived from the amounts of consumption of reactive VOCs that react only with OH radical levels. In particular,

$$IntOH_{t} = \frac{ln([tracer]_{0}/[tracer]_{t}) - Dt}{kOH^{tracer}}$$
(I)

where $[tracer]_0$ and $[tracer]_t$ are the initial and time t concentrations of the compound used as the OH tracer, kOH^{tracer} its OH rate constant, and D is the dilution rate in the experiments. The latter is neglected in our IntOH analysis. The base ROG surrogate component m-xylene was used as the tracer to derive the IntOH levels in these experiments. The OH + m-xylene rate constant used was 2.36 x 10⁻¹¹ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson, 1989).

Plots of experimental $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ in the base case and test experiments, changes in $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ and IntOH caused by adding the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, are shown on

Figure 4 and changes in these quantities are also summarized on Table 5. It can be seen that the addition of the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene caused a measurable increase in NO oxidation and O_3 formation in all the experiments, increasing with the amount of test compound added. The effect of adding a given amount of the tetrahalopropene on $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ was larger in the MIR experiment than the lower NO_x MOIR/2 runs, which is expected since MIR conditions are generally more sensitive to most VOCs.

The effects of the added tetrahalopropene on the integrated OH levels in the experiments were relatively low, though generally negative. This indicates that this compound has a tendency to inhibit radical levels in the experiments, though the effect is small. Many VOCs have a tendency to inhibit overall radical levels in experiments to varying degrees. This was seen for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b) and 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c) as well.

Results of model simulations of the incremental reactivity experiments are also shown on Figure 4. For all experiments the model simulated the $\Delta([O3]-[NO])$ results of the base case experiment quite well. The best fits to the $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ incremental reactivity data were obtained if it is assumed that 2.5% nitrate formation occurs in the overall reaction, as shown with the solid line ("model used") curves on Figure 4. It is assumed that overall nitrate formation occurs with a ~5% yield, which gives best fits to the data for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b), then the model has a small but consistent bias towards underpredicting $\Delta([O_3]-[NO])$ reactivities, as shown by the dashed lines on Figure 4. Note that the reactivity data for 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene are best fit assuming no nitrate formation in the overall reaction, so these results for trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene are within the range of the results for the other two tetrahalopropenes studied. Changing the overall nitrate yield has no significant effect on calculations of IntOH reactivity or the rates of tetrahalopropene consumption.

Figure 4 also shows the experimental and model calculated data for the consumption of the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene during the experiment. In all cases the consumption of this compound was relatively slow and the fractions reacted were low. Because even relatively low levels of reactor dilution would affect consumption data for such slowly reacting compounds, in most experiments we added perfluoro n-hexane as a tracer to determine dilution rates to use when modeling these experiments. The results indicated that some dilution was occurring in some experiments but not in others, though the dilution rates were never greater than 0.3% per hour. Although small, this level of dilution did have an effect on the model simulations of the tetrahalopropene consumption rate, so dilution rates adjusted to fit the perfluorohexane data were used when modeling runs where perfluorohexane data were available.

Figure 4 shows that even after correcting for dilution the model still had a slight tendency to underpredict the consumption rates of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene to a slight extent for most of the experiments. However, this underprediction was also seen for n-butane, which is present in all experiments as a base ROG surrogate, and which also reacts relatively slowly (though with a rate constant that is about 2.3 times higher than the tetrahalopropene. The consumption rate of the tetrahalopropene relative to n-butane, after correction for dilution in most cases, was found to be about twice that predicted using the rate constant ratios for these two compounds, indicating that there is some unknown loss process (or analytical bias) for gas-phase trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene in these experiments. This is consistent with the results of the control experiment EPA1000 discussed above, where the measured decay rate for this compound under conditions where gas-phase loss processes should be negligible was found to be higher than dilution. Similar results were seen for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene but not for 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene in that experiment. The reason for this slightly higher apparent gas-phase loss for these compounds is unknown, but it is considered unlikely to have implications on the gas-phase reactivity of these compounds. This is indicated by the relatively good performance of the model in simulating the overall reactivity of these compounds, as indicated in Figure 4 for trans 1-chloro-3,3,3trifluoropropene and by a similar figure in Carter (2009a) for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene.



Figure 4. Experimental and calculated results of the incremental reactivity experiments with added trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene.

Measurements of particle formation were also made during the course of the experiments, using the procedures discussed above and by Carter et al (2005b), and representative results are summarized on Table 5. The addition of the trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene was found not to significantly change the amounts of PM formed in the experiments, indicating that this compound is not a significant source of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). This is not unexpected, since the mechanism derived for this compound does not involve formation of low volatility products, and similar results are seen for the other two tetrahalopropenes studied (Carter, 2009a,b).

Atmospheric Reactivity Calculation

Ozone Impacts

Conditions and maximum O_3 concentrations of the ambient scenarios used for reactivity assessment are summarized on Table 6. These are the same scenarios as used to calculate the atmospheric reactivities of the ~1100 types of VOCs using the SAPRC-07 mechanism by Carter (2009a), and are also the same as used in previous reactivity scales calculated using the SAPRC-99 (Carter, 2000a), and SAPRC-90 (Carter, 1994a) mechanisms. All of these are 1-day box model scenarios with varying inversion heights, initially present and emitted NO_x and reactive organics, and O_3 and background VOCs entrained from aloft as the inversion heights increase during the day (Carter, 1994a,b), with inputs designed to represent various urban areas around the United States (Baugues, 1990). As discussed previously, four types of scenarios are employed.

- <u>Base</u>. The base case scenarios have the NO_x and other inputs as originally specified by Baugues (1990) to represent the various urban areas around the United States. Note that these are not good representations of current conditions, since generally these scenarios predict much higher O₃ levels than currently occur, and these box model incorporate significant simplifications of transport, mixing, and emissions, and multi-day effects, which can be important. However, they do represent a variety of chemical conditions, which are the main factors reflecting *relative* atmospheric reactivities of VOCs. These scenarios represent a variety of relative NO_x levels, which is a major factor affecting absolute and relative reactivities of VOCs (Carter and Atkinson, 1989; Carter, 1994a). For this reason, other types of scenarios, discussed below, are derived to represent standard conditions of NO_x availability.
- <u>MIR</u>. The Maximum Incremental Reactivity (MIR) scenarios have the NO_x inputs adjusted so that the base ROG mixture used to represent all the anthropogenic VOC emissions has the maximum incremental reactivity relative to ozone formation. All the other inputs are the same as in the base case scenarios. Although the base ROG reactivity is used to define the MIR NO_x level, most other types of VOCs also have their maximum incremental reactivity at this same NO_x level. These scenarios represent the relatively high NO_x conditions where O₃ formation is the most sensitive to VOC emissions. The averages incremental reactivities in all these scenarios are used to derive the MIR scale that is used in regulatory applications in California (CARB 1993, 2000).
- <u>MOIR</u>. The Maximum Ozone Incremental Reactivity (MOIR) scenarios have the NO_x inputs adjusted to give the maximum daily maximum ozone concentration. All other inputs are the same as in the base and MIR scenarios. These scenarios represent NO_x conditions that are optimum for O₃ formation, which is always lower than those for MIR. The averages incremental reactivities in all these scenarios are used to derive the MOIR scale, which can be considered as an alternative to MIR (Carter, 1994a).
- <u>EBIR</u>. The Equal Benefit Incremental Reactivity (EBIR) scenarios have the NO_x inputs adjusted so that O₃ formation is equally sensitive to changes in total ROG or NO_x inputs. All the other inputs are the same as in the base, MIR, and MOIR scenarios. The NO_x inputs are always lower

~ .		Max (O ₃ (ppb)			ROG	/ NOx		Max	ROG input	O₂ aloft	Final H
Scenario	Base	MIR	MOIR	EBIR	Base	MIR	MOIR	EBIR	Height (kM)	(m.mol m ⁻²)	(ppb)	(m)
Averaged Conditions		178	225	211		3.9	5.9	9.1	1.8	15	70	1823
Atlanta, GA	171	144	176	168	7.3	3.7	5.6	7.9	2.1	12	63	2146
Austin, TX	170	153	185	175	9.3	3.5	5.4	8.2	2.1	11	85	2108
Baltimore, MD	310	243	318	293	5.2	4.0	6.2	10.1	1.2	17	84	1169
Baton Rouge, LA	234	185	234	224	6.8	4.5	6.6	8.7	1.0	11	62	968
Birmingham, AL	238	203	257	242	6.9	2.8	4.3	6.4	1.8	13	81	1770
Boston, MA	191	164	199	189	6.5	2.9	4.4	6.8	2.6	14	105	2598
Charlotte, NC	139	137	162	158	7.8	1.9	3.0	4.1	3.0	7	92	3046
Chicago, IL	284	241	320	299	11.6	4.4	6.6	9.9	1.4	25	40	1392
Cincinnati, OH	195	158	197	182	6.4	3.5	5.3	9.1	2.8	17	70	2816
Cleveland, OH	241	194	241	228	6.6	4.4	6.9	10.3	1.7	16	89	1650
Dallas, TX	184	160	202	192	4.7	4.2	6.4	9.2	2.3	18	75	2250
Denver, CO	193	161	199	187	6.3	5.0	7.6	11.6	3.4	29	57	3358
Detroit, MI	235	183	238	218	6.8	3.8	5.8	10.0	1.8	17	68	1844
El Paso, TX	175	144	175	168	6.6	4.6	7.2	10.0	2.0	12	65	2000
Hartford, CT	167	145	184	173	8.4	2.9	4.5	7.3	2.3	11	78	2318
Houston, TX	298	226	298	277	6.1	4.1	6.2	9.5	1.7	25	65	1748
Indianapolis, IN	202	156	203	191	6.6	4.0	6.5	9.8	1.7	12	52	1675
Jacksonville, FL	149	126	156	149	7.6	3.7	5.5	7.6	1.5	8	40	1485
Kansas City, MO	151	126	158	146	7.1	3.1	4.9	8.5	2.2	9	65	2200
Lake Charles, LA	288	229	305	291	7.4	3.6	5.3	7.2	0.5	7	40	457
Los Angeles, CA	558	403	559	528	7.6	5.2	8.0	11.2	0.5	23	100	503
Louisville, KY	201	162	202	192	5.5	3.3	5.1	7.4	2.5	14	75	2518
Memphis, TN	223	178	231	216	6.8	3.4	5.1	7.8	1.8	15	58	1750
Miami, FL	129	120	149	143	9.6	2.9	4.5	6.4	2.7	9	57	2720
Nashville, TN	161	146	186	175	8.0	2.6	4.0	6.0	1.6	7	50	1608
New York, NY	372	302	378	357	8.1	4.8	6.7	9.8	1.5	39	103	1512
Philadelphia, PA	234	178	234	218	6.2	4.1	6.3	9.7	1.8	19	53	1800
Phoenix, AZ	267	208	267	244	7.6	5.0	7.8	13.0	3.3	40	60	3250
Portland, OR	159	131	163	156	6.5	3.1	4.9	7.0	1.6	6	66	1575
Richmond, VA	231	181	233	214	6.2	3.6	5.5	9.4	1.9	16	64	1932
Sacramento, CA	195	150	196	183	6.6	3.9	6.0	9.1	1.1	7	60	1103
St Louis, MO	304	237	311	288	6.1	4.7	7.2	11.6	1.6	26	82	1625
Salt Lake City, UT	180	156	189	177	8.5	3.5	5.5	9.1	2.2	11	85	2150
San Antonio, TX	119	101	122	118	3.9	3.0	4.7	6.5	2.3	6	60	2308
San Diego, CA	185	146	185	177	7.1	4.7	7.2	10.1	0.9	8	90	850
San Francisco, CA	225	345	455	434	4.8	6.1	9.0	12.0	0.7	25	70	650
Tampa, FL	212	172	218	209	4.4	3.5	5.2	7.0	1.0	8	68	991
Tulsa, OK	219	171	219	202	5.3	3.5	5.4	8.8	1.8	15	70	1830
Washington, DC	272	209	273	256	5.3	3.2	4.8	7.4	1.4	13	99	1421

 Table 6.
 Summary of conditions of scenarios used for reactivity assessment

than those yielding maximum O_3 (MOIR), and represent the lowest NO_x levels where VOC control is at least as effective as NO_x control. The averages incremental reactivities in all these scenarios are used to derive the EBIR scale, which is a useful complement to the MIR scale in assessing how NO_x levels affect relative reactivities.

• <u>Averaged Conditions</u>. The averaged conditions scenarios have all inputs other than total NO_x derived to represent the average for the base case scenarios. The NO_x inputs are varied to assess how measures of reactivity depend on NO_x with other inputs held constant. Incremental reactivities in the MIR, MOIR, and EBIR averaged conditions scenarios (i.e., whose NO_x inputs are adjusted to represent those respective conditions) usually give good approximations to reactivities in those respective scales, though they are not used in deriving these scales.

Table 7 gives the calculated incremental reactivities for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene in these various scenarios. These were calculated using the mechanism that gave the best fit to the chamber data, i.e. with the nitrate yield set at 2.5% (see Table 3). The calculated incremental reactivities for ethane, the compound that has been used by the U.S. EPA as the informal standard to define "negligible" ozone impact for the purpose of exempting VOCs from regulation as ozone precursors (Dimitriades, 1999), are also shown on the table. It can be seen that although its incremental reactivities of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene are always positive, they are relatively low, and consistently lower, on a mass basis, than those for ethane. The reactivity relative to ethane shows relatively little variability from scenario to scenario, with the mass-based reactivity ratio being $19\pm3\%$. The MIR ratio is $16\pm2\%$, which is within the variability for all the types of scenarios.

Product Yield Calculations

Although the major objective of this project is assessing ozone impacts, the mechanism derived in this work can also be used to assess the distribution of the products formed in the oxidation of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene in the atmosphere. This could be a consideration when assessing the environmental impact because fluorine-containing products are not ultimately oxidized to CO or CO_2 , as is the case for hydrocarbons. The products expected to be formed are listed in Table 2, above, which also indicates which of these products are assumed to be relatively unreactive, at least on the time scale relevant to regional ozone formation.

Figure 5a gives plots of relative yields of the fluorine-containing products from the oxidation of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene against relative NO_x levels in the "averaged conditions" scenarios. As expected, the major products are the ClCHO and trifluoroacetaldehyde formed in the initial reaction, though under sufficiently low NO_x conditions the initially formed C_3F_4 hydroperoxides also become important, with yields approaching 50%. The maximum yields of CF₃OH, which results from the formation of CF₃O· radicals, is about 4.5%, and occurs under maximum O₃, or MOIR, conditions. This indicates that uncertainties concerning the reactions of CF₃O· are probably not major factors in the ozone simulations. These are very similar to the results obtained for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b), except that FCHO is formed instead of ClCHO.

The averaged conditions scenarios used to produce the data on Figure 5a are all one-day simulations, and greater yields of secondary products are expected to be formed in long range transport conditions. To assess that, the averaged conditions MOIR scenario simulation was carried out for an additional 9 days, with no emissions or dilution on the subsequent days. The relative yields of the products at midday are plotted against number of days of irradiation on Figure 5b. In long term irradiation conditions the yields of these hydroperoxides decline because of their reactions, and yields of trifluoromethanol and trifluoroacetic acid become more important, becoming $\sim 25\%$ and $\sim 13\%$ after 10 days. These are also very similar to the results obtained for trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter,

Scenario	trans-1-c Ir	hloro-3,3, hcrementa $(gm O_3 / g)$,3-trifluor l Reactivi gm VOC)	opropene ty	Ethane Incremental Reactivity (gm O ₃ / gm VOC)				
	Base	MIR	MOIR	EBIR	Base	MIR	MOIR	EBIR	
Averaged Conditions		0.040	0.032	0.023		0.268	0.184	0.130	
Reactivity Scale Value	0.030	0.042	0.034	0.026	0.162	0.264	0.184	0.133	
(Scenario averages)	± 0.006	± 0.008	± 0.006	± 0.005	± 0.043	± 0.065	± 0.051	± 0.038	
Atlanta, GA	0.027	0.041	0.033	0.026	0.140	0.252	0.179	0.129	
Austin, TX	0.026	0.044	0.037	0.028	0.132	0.276	0.208	0.146	
Baltimore, MD	0.036	0.040	0.033	0.025	0.208	0.254	0.178	0.128	
Baton Rouge, LA	0.026	0.034	0.027	0.022	0.123	0.196	0.129	0.090	
Birmingham, AL	0.029	0.053	0.039	0.030	0.173	0.351	0.245	0.183	
Boston, MA	0.030	0.046	0.037	0.029	0.155	0.276	0.201	0.149	
Charlotte, NC	0.024	0.048	0.041	0.035	0.124	0.306	0.242	0.199	
Chicago, IL	0.020	0.039	0.030	0.023	0.089	0.239	0.149	0.104	
Cincinnati, OH	0.035	0.047	0.039	0.029	0.206	0.306	0.229	0.165	
Cleveland, OH	0.032	0.036	0.031	0.024	0.164	0.217	0.158	0.115	
Dallas, TX	0.037	0.036	0.028	0.022	0.236	0.232	0.165	0.116	
Denver, CO	0.032	0.033	0.029	0.022	0.156	0.184	0.123	0.086	
Detroit, MI	0.033	0.045	0.035	0.026	0.188	0.288	0.206	0.148	
El Paso, TX	0.027	0.031	0.026	0.021	0.145	0.186	0.132	0.094	
Hartford, CT	0.029	0.050	0.042	0.032	0.166	0.322	0.247	0.183	
Houston, TX	0.034	0.043	0.033	0.025	0.188	0.277	0.185	0.128	
Indianapolis, IN	0.035	0.043	0.036	0.027	0.191	0.280	0.194	0.138	
Jacksonville, FL	0.026	0.043	0.034	0.027	0.122	0.270	0.174	0.123	
Kansas City, MO	0.035	0.051	0.042	0.031	0.206	0.345	0.256	0.183	
Lake Charles, LA	0.026	0.049	0.035	0.027	0.109	0.296	0.166	0.112	
Los Angeles, CA	0.019	0.024	0.017	0.014	0.088	0.139	0.082	0.061	
Louisville, KY	0.040	0.052	0.042	0.032	0.228	0.338	0.245	0.176	
Memphis, TN	0.032	0.052	0.039	0.029	0.165	0.329	0.209	0.148	
Miami, FL	0.023	0.046	0.037	0.030	0.108	0.289	0.202	0.153	
Nashville, TN	0.029	0.061	0.048	0.036	0.172	0.450	0.310	0.222	
New York, NY	0.025	0.033	0.027	0.022	0.075	0.158	0.091	0.065	
Philadelphia, PA	0.034	0.044	0.034	0.025	0.177	0.265	0.175	0.124	
Phoenix, AZ	0.030	0.035	0.029	0.022	0.194	0.261	0.190	0.131	
Portland, OR	0.032	0.047	0.039	0.030	0.172	0.295	0.215	0.161	
Richmond, VA	0.034	0.044	0.036	0.027	0.188	0.278	0.201	0.146	
Sacramento, CA	0.029	0.041	0.032	0.024	0.191	0.307	0.208	0.146	
St Louis, MO	0.031	0.034	0.028	0.021	0.171	0.211	0.144	0.103	
Salt Lake City, UT	0.028	0.040	0.035	0.027	0.168	0.285	0.222	0.159	
San Antonio, TX	0.035	0.038	0.030	0.025	0.223	0.247	0.186	0.140	
San Diego, CA	0.023	0.029	0.023	0.018	0.099	0.143	0.097	0.070	
San Francisco, CA	0.014	0.021	0.018	0.015	0.085	0.113	0.071	0.051	
Tampa, FL	0.040	0.049	0.034	0.027	0.209	0.263	0.160	0.113	
Tulsa, OK	0.040	0.051	0.040	0.030	0.209	0.307	0.208	0.146	
Washington, DC	0.033	0.045	0.035	0.027	0.186	0.279	0.199	0.148	

Table 7.Calculated atmospheric incremental reactivities for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene
and ethane.



Figure 5. Plots of relative yields of fluorine-containing products in the oxidation of trans 1,3,3,3tetrafluoropropene against (a) relative NO_x levels and days of irradiation in the "averaged conditions" scenarios, and (b) number of days in the MOIR scenario extended on for 9 additional days. Yields are given relative to the amount of tetrahalopropene reacted.

2009b). Note that these calculations do not consider deposition of the primary products, so they may be overestimating the yields of these secondary products. More detailed calculations that give better representations of actual scenarios, and that include deposition processes, are needed to for more realistic assessments of atmospheric fates.

CONCLUSIONS

This project has been successful in obtaining information needed to reduce uncertainties in estimating atmospheric ozone impacts of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene. The available kinetic data are sufficient to determine the atmospheric reaction rates of this compound, and although mechanistic data are not available, mechanisms can be estimated based on mechanisms derived trans 1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene and other alkenes. These are sufficient to derive an atmospheric reaction mechanism for this compound that can be used to estimate its atmospheric ozone impacts. However, the mechanism had uncertainties and estimates that needed to be tested, and experimental data were needed to establish the predictive capability of the mechanism before its predictions can be used as a basis for VOC exemption decisions. The experiments carried out for this compound, though some adjustments had to be made in order for the mechanism to simulate the data. These adjustments were well within the uncertainty of the estimates, so the mechanism can now be considered to be reasonably well established.

The evaluated mechanism predicted that the ozone impacts of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene, on a mass basis averaged $19\pm3\%$ that of ethane for all the scenarios considered, with the ratio being $16\pm2\%$ in the MIR scale. There were no scenarios where the ozone impact of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene was calculated to be higher than that of ethane on a mass basis. Note that all the scenarios considered are one-day simulations, and compounds that react in the atmosphere more slowly than ethane might have higher relatively higher ozone impacts in multi-day simulations. However, trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene reacts somewhat more rapidly than ethane in the atmosphere, so if anything its ozone impacts relative to ethane are more likely to decrease in multi-day simulations. Therefore, if ethane is used as the standard to define "negligible" ozone impact for the purpose of determining VOC exemptions for ozone precursors, then trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene will meet this standard.

The uncertain portion of the trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene mechanism that had to be adjusted to yield satisfactory simulations of the chamber data concerned the nitrate yields in the reaction of NO with the initially formed HOC₃F₃ClH₂OO· peroxy radicals. The overall nitrate yield might be expected to be somewhere between ~0% and ~5%, based on the values that gave the best fits to the environmental chamber reactivity data for 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009c) and trans-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (Carter, 2009b), respectively. The data for trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene are best fit if an overall nitrate yield of ~2.5% is assumed, which is between the values derived for the two tetrafluoropropenes. The fact that the best fit mechanisms suggest different nitrate yields for these tetrahalopropenes suggest that the nature and position of the halogen atoms on the molecule has an effect on the overall nitrate yields in the photooxidation mechanism. Note, however, that these yields are derived indirectly based on modeling ozone reactivity, and not direct measurements of nitrate formation.

The near-explicit mechanism developed for this compound also permitted predictions of the gasphase products formed in the atmospheric oxidations of trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene. The major products are the formyl chloride and trifluoroacetaldehyde formed in high yields in the initial reactions in the presence of NO_x , though if NO_x is sufficiently low then significant yields of C_3F_3Cl hydroperoxides will also be formed. In longer term irradiations the trifluoroacetaldehyde and the C_3F_3Cl hydroperoxides will undergo secondary reactions, with the major secondary products ultimately formed being trifluoromethanol and trifluoroacetic acid, with yields approaching 10-20% after many days of photolysis. However, if deposition of the primary products is significant, then the yields of these secondary products would be less. If this is of interest, then modeling using more comprehensive and realistic representations of ambient simulations are needed. The mechanism developed in this work could be used for such modeling, and the results presented here are indicative of the types of information that can be obtained.

Although this was outside the stated scope of this project, data were also obtained in this project concerning the effects of trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene on atmospheric particle formation. The results indicated that the addition of this compound to atmospheric reactive organic gas surrogate - NO_x irradiations either had no significant effect on the mass or particles formed. This indicates that this compound does not form measurable amounts of secondary organic aerosol. This is as expected, since it is not predicted to form low volatility products. Furthermore, the products that it forms apparently do not also undergo significant heterogeneous reactions to form condensable compounds, at least under the relatively clean and dry conditions of these experiments.

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, R. (1989): "Kinetics and Mechanisms of the Gas-Phase Reactions of the Hydroxyl Radical with Organic Compounds," J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Monograph no 1.
- Atkinson, R. (1991): "Kinetics and Mechanisms of the Gas-Phase Reactions of the NO₃ Radical with Organic Compounds," J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, <u>20</u>, 459-507.
- Atkinson, R. (1997): "Gas Phase Tropospheric Chemistry of Volatile Organic Compounds: 1. Alkanes and Alkenes," J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 26, 215-290.
- Baugues, K. (1990): "Preliminary Planning Information for Updating the Ozone Regulatory Impact Analysis Version of EKMA," Draft Document, Source Receptor Analysis Branch, Technical Support Division, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, January.
- CARB (1993): "Proposed Regulations for Low-Emission Vehicles and Clean Fuels -- Staff Report and Technical Support Document," California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, August 13, 1990. See also Appendix VIII of "California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light Duty Trucks and Medium Duty Vehicles," as last amended September 22, 1993. Incorporated by reference in Section 1960.
- CARB (2000): "Initial Statement of Reasons for the Proposed Amendments to the Regulation for Reducing Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Aerosol Coating Products and Proposed Tables of Maximum Incremental Reactivity (MIR) Values, and Proposed Amendments to Method 310, 'Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Consumer Products'," California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, May 5.
- CARB (2008): "Air Resources Board Reactivity Programs." Web page at http://www.arb.ca.gov/ research/reactivity/reactivity.htm. Last updated June, 2008
- Calvert, J. G., R. Atkinson, J. A. Kerr, S. Madronich, G. K. Moortgat, T. J. Wallington and G. Yarwood (2003): "The Mechanisms of Atmospheric Oxidation of Alkenes," Oxford University Press, New York,
- Carter, W. P. L. (1994a): "Development of Ozone Reactivity Scales for Volatile Organic Compounds," J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc., 44, 881-899.
- Carter, W. P. L. (1994b): "Calculation of Reactivity Scales Using an Updated Carbon Bond IV Mechanism," Report Prepared for Systems Applications International Under Funding from the Auto/Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program, April 12.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2000a): "Documentation of the SAPRC-99 Chemical Mechanism for VOC Reactivity Assessment," Report to the California Air Resources Board, Contracts 92-329 and 95-308, May 8. Available at http://cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#saprc99 and http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter /reactdat.htm.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2000b): "Implementation of the SAPRC-99 Chemical Mechanism into the Models-3 Framework," Report to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, January 29. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#s99mod3.

- Carter, W. P. L. (2002): "Development of a Next Generation Environmental Chamber Facility for Chemical Mechanism and VOC Reactivity Research," Draft Research Plan and First Progress Report to the United States Environmental Protection Agency Cooperative Agreement CR 827331-01-0, January 3. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/epacham.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2004): "Evaluation of a Gas-Phase Atmospheric Reaction Mechanism for Low NO_x Conditions," Final Report to California Air Resources Board Contract No. 01-305, May 5. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#lnoxrpt.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2007): "Investigation of the Atmospheric Ozone Impacts of Methyl Iodide," Final Report to Arysta LifeScience Corporation, Contract UCR-07041867, July 31. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#ch3irep.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2009a): "Development of the SAPRC-07 Chemical Mechanism and Updated Ozone Reactivity Scale," Revised final report to the California Air Resources Board Contract No. 03-318, March 20. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/SAPRC.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2009b). "Investigation of the Atmospheric Ozone Impacts of Trans 1,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene," Final Report to Honeywell International Inc. Contract UCR-09010016, February 9. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm #ZEErept.
- Carter, W. P. L. (2009c). "Investigation of the Atmospheric Ozone Impacts of 2,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene," Final Report to Honeywell International Inc. Contract UCR-09010016, June 2. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm #YFrept.
- Carter, W. P. L. and R. Atkinson (1987): "An Experimental Study of Incremental Hydrocarbon Reactivity," Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 670-679
- Carter, W. P. L. and R. Atkinson (1989): "A Computer Modeling Study of Incremental Hydrocarbon Reactivity", Environ. Sci. Technol., 23, 864.
- Carter, W. P. L., and Lurmann, F. W. (1991) Evaluation of a detailed gas-phase atmospheric reaction mechanism using environmental chamber data. Atmos. Environ. 25A:2771-2806.
- Carter, W. P. L., J. A. Pierce, I. L. Malkina, D. Luo and W. D. Long (1993): "Environmental Chamber Studies of Maximum Incremental Reactivities of Volatile Organic Compounds," Report to Coordinating Research Council, Project No. ME-9, California Air Resources Board Contract No. A032-0692; South Coast Air Quality Management District Contract No. C91323, United States Environmental Protection Agency Cooperative Agreement No. CR-814396-01-0, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research Contract No. 59166, and Dow Corning Corporation. April 1. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#rct1rept
- Carter, W. P. L., D. Luo, I. L. Malkina, and D. Fitz (1995a): "The University of California, Riverside Environmental Chamber Data Base for Evaluating Oxidant Mechanism. Indoor Chamber Experiments through 1993," Report submitted to the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/AREAL, Research Triangle Park, NC., March 20..

- Carter, W. P. L., D. Luo, I. L. Malkina, and J. A. Pierce (1995b): "Environmental Chamber Studies of Atmospheric Reactivities of Volatile Organic Compounds. Effects of Varying ROG Surrogate and NO_x," Final report to Coordinating Research Council, Inc., Project ME-9, California Air Resources Board, Contract A032-0692, and South Coast Air Quality Management District, Contract C91323. March 24. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#rct2rept.
- Carter, W. P. L., J. H. Seinfeld, D. R. Fitz and G. S. Tonnesen (1999): "Development of a Next-Generation Environmental Chamber Facility for Chemical Mechanism and VOC Reactivity Evaluation," Research Proposal to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, February 22. (Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/epacham/proposal.htm.)
- Carter, W. P. L. and I. L. Malkina (2005): "Evaluation of Atmospheric Impacts of Selected Coatings VOC Emissions," Final report to the California Air Resources Board Contract No. 00-333, March 15. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#coatrpt.
- Carter, W. P. L., D. R. Cocker III, D. R. Fitz, I. L. Malkina, K. Bumiller, C. G. Sauer, J. T. Pisano, C. Bufalino, and C. Song (2005a): "A New Environmental Chamber for Evaluation of Gas-Phase Chemical Mechanisms and Secondary Aerosol Formation", Atmos. Environ. 39 7768-7788.
- Carter, W. P. L., I. L. Malkina, D. R. Cocker III, and C. Song (2005b): "Environmental Chamber Studies of VOC Species in Architectural Coatings and Mobile Source Emissions," Final Report to the South Coast Air Quality Management District Contract No. 03468, July 5. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#scaqcham.
- Carter, W. P. L. and I. L. Malkina (2007): "Investigation of the Atmospheric Impacts of Selected Pesticides," Final Report to the California Air Resources Board Contract 04-334, January 10. Available at http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#pestrep.
- Cocker, D. R., R. C. Flagan, and J. H. Seinfeld. (2001). "State-of-the-Art Chamber Facility for Studying Atmospheric Aerosol Chemistry," Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 2594-2601.
- Collins D. R., R. C. Flagan, and J. H. Seinfeld (2002). "Improved inversion of scanning DMA data," Aerosol Science Technology, 36, 2-9.
- Dimitriades, B. (1999): "Scientific Basis of an Improved EPA Policy on Control of Organic Emissions for Ambient Ozone Reduction," J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. 49, 831-838
- EPA (2005): "Interim Guidance on Control of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ozone State Implementation Plans," Federal Register, 70, 54046-54051, September 13.
- IUPAC (2000): IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation Data Sheet PCl28. Website: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/. December 15..
- IUPAC (2004): IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation Data Sheet PF3 Website: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/. July 23.
- IUPAC (2005): IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation Data Sheet oFOx30 Website: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/. March 29.

- IUPAC (2006): "Evaluated Kinetic and Photochemical Data". IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation for Atmospheric Chemistry. Web Version. Available at http://www.iupackinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk. Latest data sheets dated June, 2006.
- IUPAC (2007): IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation Data Sheet oClOx61. Website: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/. December 16. See also Libuda, H. G., Zabel, F., Fink, E. H. and Becker, K. H.: J. Phys. Chem., 94, 5860, 1990.
- Johnson, G. M. (1983): "Factors Affecting Oxidant Formation in Sydney Air," in "The Urban Atmosphere -- Sydney, a Case Study." Eds. J. N. Carras and G. M. Johnson (CSIRO, Melbourne), pp. 393-408.
- Orlando, J. J. and G. S. Tyndall (2003): "Gas phase UV absorption spectra for peracetic acid, and for acetic acid monomers and dimers," J. Photochem. Photobiol A, 157, 161-166.
- RRWG (1999a): "VOC Reactivity Policy White Paper," Prepared by the Reactivity Research Work Group Policy Team, October 1. Available at http://www.narsto.org/section.src?SID=10.
- Sellevåg, S. R.; Kelly, T.; Sidebottom, H.; Nielsen, C. J. (2004): "A study of the IR and UV-Vis absorption cross-sections, photolysis and OH-initiated oxidation of CF₃CHO and CF₃CH₂CHO," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 6, 1243-1252.
- Sulbaek Andersen, M.P., E.J.K. Nilsson, O.J. Nielsen, M.S. Johnson, M.D. Hurley, and T.J.Wallington (2008): "Atmospheric chemistry of trans-CF₃CH=CHCl: Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions with Cl atoms, OH radicals, and O₃," J. Photochem. Photobiol A. 199, 92-97.
- Zafonte, L., P. L. Rieger, and J. R. Holmes (1977): "Nitrogen Dioxide Photolysis in the Los Angeles Atmosphere," Environ. Sci. Technol. 11, 483-487.

APPENDIX A. BASE MECHANISM LISTING

Table A-1.List of model species used in the base SAPRC-07 mechanism, including the VOC species
used in the chamber and atmospheric reactivity simulations. The model species used for
trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene and its reaction products and intermediates are
given in Table 2, above.

Name	Description
Constant Spec	ties.
O2	Oxygen
Μ	Air
H2O	Water
H2	Hydrogen Molecules
HV	Light
Active Inorgan	nic Species.
O3	Ozone
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO2	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO3	Nitrate Radical
N2O5	Nitrogen Pentoxide
HONO	Nitrous Acid
HNO3	Nitric Acid
HNO4	Peroxynitric Acid
HO2H	Hydrogen Peroxide
CO	Carbon Monoxide
SO2	Sulfur Dioxide
H2	Hydrogen
Active Radica	1 Species and Operators.
OH	Hydroxyl Radicals
HO2	Hydroperoxide Radicals
MEO2	Methyl Peroxy Radicals
RO2C	Peroxy Radical Operator representing NO to NO ₂ and NO ₃ to NO ₂ conversions, and the effects of peroxy radical reactions on acyl peroxy and other peroxy radicals.
RO2XC	Peroxy Radical Operator representing NO consumption (used in conjunction with organic nitrate formation), and the effects of peroxy radical reactions on NO ₃ , acyl peroxy radicals, and other peroxy radicals.
MECO3	Acetyl Peroxy Radicals
RCO3	Peroxy Propionyl and higher peroxy acyl Radicals
BZCO3	Peroxyacyl radical formed from Aromatic Aldehydes
MACO3	Peroxyacyl radicals formed from methacrolein and other acroleins.
Steady State R	Radical Species
O3P	Ground State Oxygen Atoms
O1D	Excited Oxygen Atoms
TDUO	

TBUOt-Butoxy RadicalsBZOPhenoxy Radicals

Table A-1 (continued)

Name	Description
PAN and PA	N Analogues
PAN	Peroxy Acetyl Nitrate
PAN2	PPN and other higher alkyl PAN analogues
PBZN	PAN analogues formed from Aromatic Aldehydes
MAPAN	PAN analogue formed from Methacrolein
Explicit and	Lumped Molecule Reactive Organic Product Species
НСНО	Formaldehyde
CCHO	Acetaldehyde
RCHO	Lumped C3+ Aldehydes. Mechanism based on propionaldehyde
ACET	Acetone
MEK	Ketones and other non-aldehyde oxygenated products that react with OH radicals faster than 5 x 10^{-13} but slower than 5 x 10^{-12} cm ³ molec ⁻² sec ⁻¹ . Mechanism based on methyl ethyl
MEOH	Ketone.
MEOH	Methanol
CCOOL	Formic Acid
RCOOL	Acelic Acid. Also used for peroxyacelic acid.
СООЦ	Higher organic actus and peroxy actus. Mechanishi based on propronic actu.
DOOL	Methyl Hydroperoxide
ROOH	Lumped organic nydroperoxides with 2-4 carbons. Mechanism based n-propyr
R6OOH	Lumped organic hydroperoxides with 5 or more carbons (other than those formed following OH addition to aromatic rings, which are represented separately). Mechanism based on 3-hexyl hydroperoxide
RAOOH	Organic hydroperoxides formed following OH addition to aromatic rings, which is represented separately because of their probable role in SOA formation. Mechanism based on two isomers expected to be formed in the m-xylene system.
GLY	Glyoxal
MGLY	Methyl Glyoxal
BACL	Biacetyl
CRES	Phenols and Cresols. Mechanism based on o-cresol.
NPHE	Nitrophenols
BALD	Aromatic aldehydes. Mechanism based on benzaldehyde
MACR	Methacrolein
MVK	Methyl Vinyl Ketone
IPRD	Lumped isoprene product species. Mechanism based on that of Carter and Atkinson (1996).
Aromatic uns	saturated ring fragmentation products (see discussion of aromatic mechanisms)
AFG1	Lumped photoreactive monounsaturated dicarbonyl aromatic fragmentation products that photolyze to form radicals.
AFG2	Lumped photoreactive monounsaturated dicarbonyl aromatic fragmentation products that photolyze to form non-radical products

AFG3 Lumped diunsaturated dicarbonyl aromatic fragmentation product.

Table A-1 (continued)

Name	Description
Lumped Para	meter Products
PROD2	Ketones and other non-aldehyde oxygenated products that react with OH radicals faster than 5 x 10^{-12} cm ³ molec ⁻² sec ⁻¹ . Mechanism based on CH ₃ C(O)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH, CH ₃ C(O)-CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)CH ₂ OH, CH ₃ CH ₂ C(O)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)OH, CH ₃ CH ₂ C(O)CH ₂ CH ₂ CH(OH)-CH ₂ CH ₃ , and CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH(OH)CH ₂ -CH ₂ C(O)CH ₂ CH ₃ (PROD2-1 through 5), each weighed equally.
RNO3	Lumped Organic Nitrates. Mechanism based on CH ₃ CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)ONO ₂ , CH ₃ CH(OH)CH ₂ - CH ₂ CH ₂ ONO ₂ , CH ₃ CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)CH(CH ₃)ONO ₂ , CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH(ONO ₂)CH ₂ OH, CH ₃ CH ₂ C(CH ₃)(ONO ₂)CH ₂ CH(CH ₃)CH ₃ , and CH ₃ CH ₂ CH(ONO ₂)- CH ₂ CH ₃ (RNO3-1 through 6), each weighed equally.
Steady state of	operators used to represent radical or product formation in peroxy radical reactions.
xHO2	Formation of HO ₂ from alkoxy radicals formed in peroxy radical reactions with NO and NO ₂ (100% yields) and RO ₂ (50% yields)
хОН	As above, but for OH
xNO2	As above, but for NO_2
xMEO2	As above, but for MEO2
xMECO3	As above, but for MECO3
xRCO3	As above, but for RCO3
xMACO3	As above, but for MACO3
xTBUO	As above, but for TBUO
xCO	As above, but for CO
xHNO3	As above, but for HNO3
xHCHO	As above, but for HCHO
xCCHO	As above, but for CCHO
xRCHO	As above, but for RCHO
XACET	As above, but for ACET
xMEK	As above, but for MEK
xPROD2	As above, but for PROD2
xGLY	As above, but for GLY
xMGLY	As above, but for MGLY
xBACL	As above, but for BACL
xBALD	As above, but for BALD
xAFG1	As above, but for AFG1
xAFG2	As above, but for AFG2
xAFG3	As above, but for AFG3
xMACR	As above, but for MACR
xMVK	As above, but for MVK
xIPRD	As above, but for IPRD
xRNO3	As above, but for RNO3
хНСООН	As above, but for HCOOH
xCCOOH	As above, but for CCOOH
xRCOOH	As above, but for RCOOH
zRNO3	Formation of RNO3 in the RO ₂ + NO, reaction, or formation of corresponding non-nitrate
	products (represented by PROD2) formed from alkoxy radicals formed in RO ₂ + NO ₃ and
	(in 50% yields) $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions.

Table A-1 (continued)

yROOHFormation of ROOH following RO2 + HO2 reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products (represented by MEK) in the RO2 + RCO3 and (in 50% yields) RO2 + RO2 reactions.yR6OOHAs above, but with the RO2 + HO2 product represented by R6OOH and the H-shift product are represented by PROD2.yRAOOHAs above, but with the RO2 + HO2 product represented by R6OOHNon-Reacting SpeciesCO2Carbon DioxideSULFSulfates (SO3 or H2SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Carbon or introgen in unreactive productsXNLost Carbon or introgen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENActive the hase mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-DutaneN-C24n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-Dutane<	Name	Description
yR60OHAs above, but with the RO2 + HO2 product represented by R6OOH and the H-shift product are represented by PROD2.yRAOHAs above, but with the RO2 + HO2 product represented by R6OOHNon-Reacting SpeciesCO2Carbon DioxideSULFSulfates (SO3 or H2SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary OrganicsRepresented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-ButaneN-C4m-ButaneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .Alkanes and other non-ar	yROOH	Formation of ROOH following $RO_2 + HO_2$ reactions, or formation of H-shift disproportionation products (represented by MEK) in the $RO_2 + RCO_3$ and (in 50% yields) $RO_2 + RO_2$ reactions.
yRAOOHAs above, but with the RO2 + HO2 product represented by R6OOHNon-Reacting SpeciesCO2Carbon DioxideSULFSulfates (SO3 or H2SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C4n-DutaneN-C5n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ pp	yR6OOH	As above, but with the $RO_2 + HO_2$ product represented by R6OOH and the H-shift products are represented by PROD2.
Non-Reacting SpeciesCO2Carbon DioxideSULFSulfates (SO3 or H2SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily erbane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds th	yRAOOH	As above, but with the $RO_2 + HO_2$ product represented by R6OOH
CO2Carbon DioxideSULFSulfates (SO3 or H_SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily erbane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH <br< td=""><td>Non-Reacting</td><td>Species</td></br<>	Non-Reacting	Species
SULFSulfates (SO3 or H2SO4)XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴	CO2	Carbon Dioxide
XCLost Carbon or carbon in unreactive productsXNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes an	SULF	Sulfates (SO ₃ or H ₂ SO ₄)
XNLost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive productsPrimary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds	XC	Lost Carbon or carbon in unreactive products
Primary Organics Represented explicitlyCH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C4n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Al	XN	Lost Nitrogen or nitrogen in unreactive products
CH4MethaneETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily erbane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only	Primary Organ	ics Represented explicitly
ETHENEEtheneISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10	CH4	Methane
ISOPRENEIsopreneACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ pp	ETHENE	Ethene
ACETYLENAcetyleneBENZENEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5 <t< td=""><td>ISOPRENE</td><td>Isoprene</td></t<>	ISOPRENE	Isoprene
BENZENE ETHANEBenzeneETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	ACETYLEN	Acetylene
ETHANEEthane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	BENZENE	Benzene
Organics represented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH,	ETHANE	Ethane (not part of the base mechanism, but used in atmospheric reactivity simulations)
N-C4n-ButaneN-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	Organics repres	sented explicitly in the chamber simulations (not used in the atmospheric simulations)
N-C8n-OctanePROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greated than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	N-C4	n-Butane
PROPENEPropeneT-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	N-C8	n-Octane
T-2-BUTEtrans-2-ButeneTOLUENETolueneM-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greated than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	PROPENE	Propene
TOLUENE M-XYLENEToluene m-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greated than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	T-2-BUTE	trans-2-Butene
M-XYLENEm-XyleneLumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greated than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	TOLUENE	Toluene
Lumped model species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greate than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	M-XYLENE	m-Xylene
ALK1Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10 ² ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greater than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < 2x10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	Lumped model	species used in the atmospheric reactivity simulations (not used in chamber simulations)
ALK2Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ² and 2.5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10 ³ and 5 x 10 ³ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10 ³ and 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greate than 1 x 10 ⁴ ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	ALK1	Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH (OH radical rate constant) between 2 and 5 x 10^2 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily ethane)
ALK3Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10^3 and 5 x 10^3 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10^3 and 1 x 10^4 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greate than 1 x 10^4 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	ALK2	Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10^2 and 2.5 x 10^3 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ . (Primarily propane)
ALK4Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10^3 and 1 x 10^4 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greate than 1 x 10^4 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	ALK3	Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 2.5 x 10^3 and 5 x 10^3 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .
ALK5Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greated than 1 x 10^4 ppm-1 min-1.ARO1Aromatics with kOH < $2x10^4$ ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .	ALK4	Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH between 5 x 10^3 and 1 x 10^4 ppm ⁻¹ min ⁻¹ .
ARO1 Aromatics with $kOH < 2x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.	ALK5	Alkanes and other non-aromatic compounds that react only with OH, and have kOH greater than 1×10^4 ppm-1 min-1.
	ARO1	Aromatics with $kOH < 2x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.
ARO2 Aromatics with $kOH > 2x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.	ARO2	Aromatics with $kOH > 2x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.
OLE1 Alkenes (other than ethene) with $kOH < 7x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.	OLE1	Alkenes (other than ethene) with $kOH < 7x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.
OLE2 Alkenes with $kOH > 7x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.	OLE2	Alkenes with $kOH > 7x10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$.
TERP Terpenes	TERP	Terpenes

Labol	Reaction and Products [a]	Rat	te Paramete	ers [b]	
Laber	Reaction and Floducts [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В
Inorganio	c Reactions				
1	NO2 + HV = NO + O3P	Ph	ot Set= NC	02-06	
2	O3P + O2 + M = O3 + M	5.68e-34	5.68e-34	0.00	-2.60
3	O3P + O3 = #2 O2	8.34e-15	8.00e-12	4.09	
4	O3P + NO = NO2	1.64e-12	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	V=1.00
		0:	9.00e-32	0.00	-1.50
		inf:	3.00e-11	0.00	0.00
5	O3P + NO2 = NO + O2	1.03e-11	5.50e-12	-0.37	
6	O3P + NO2 = NO3	3.24e-12	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	V=1.00
		0:	2.50e-31	0.00	-1.80
		inf:	2.20e-11	0.00	-0.70
7	O3 + NO = NO2 + O2	2.02e-14	3.00e-12	2.98	
8	O3 + NO2 = O2 + NO3	3.72e-17	1.40e-13	4.91	
9	NO + NO3 = #2 NO2	2.60e-11	1.80e-11	-0.22	
10	NO + NO + O2 = #2 NO2	1.93e-38	3.30e-39	-1.05	
11	NO2 + NO3 = N2O5	1.24e-12	Falloff, F=	0.35, N	1.33
		0:	3.60e-30	0.00	-4.10
		inf:	1.90e-12	0.00	0.20
12	N2O5 = NO2 + NO3	5.69e-2	Falloff, F=	0.35, N	1.33
		0:	1.30e-3	21.86	-3.50
		inf:	9.70e+14	22.02	0.10
13	N2O5 + H2O = #2 HNO3	2.50e-22			
14	N2O5 + H2O + H2O = #2 HNO3 + H2O	1.80e-39			
	N2O5 + HV = NO3 + NO + O3P		(Slow)		
	N2O5 + HV = NO3 + NO2		(Slow)		
15	NO2 + NO3 = NO + NO2 + O2	6.75e-16	4.50e-14	2.50	
16	NO3 + HV = NO + O2	Phot	t Set= NO3	NO-06	
17	NO3 + HV = NO2 + O3P	Phot	t Set= NO3	NO2-6	
18	O3 + HV = O1D + O2	Pho	t Set= O3O	1D-06	
19	O3 + HV = O3P + O2	Pho	t Set= O3C	03P-06	
20	O1D + H2O = #2 OH	1.99e-10			
21	O1D + M = O3P + M	3.28e-11	2.38e-11	-0.19	
22	OH + NO = HONO	7.31e-12	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	J=1.00
		0:	7.00e-31	0.00	-2.60
		inf:	3.60e-11	0.00	-0.10
23	HONO + HV = OH + NO	Pho	t Set= HO	NO-06	
24	OH + HONO = H2O + NO2	5.95e-12	2.50e-12	-0.52	
25	OH + NO2 = HNO3	1.05e-11	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	1=1.00
		0:	1.80e-30	0.00	-3.00
		inf:	2.80e-11	0.00	0.00
26	OH + NO3 = HO2 + NO2	2.00e-11			

Table A-2.Reactions and rate constants in the SAPRC-07 mechanism used in this work. See Carter
(2009a) for documentation. The reactions used for trans-1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoropropene
are given in Table 3, above.

Table A-2 (continued)

Labal	Departies and Droducts [2]	Ra	te Paramete	ers [b]	
Label	Reaction and Products [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В
27	OH + HNO3 = H2O + NO3	1.51e-13]	k =	
			k0+k3M/	(1+k3N	1/k2)
		k0:	2.40e-14	-0.91	0.00
		k2:	2.70e-17	-4.37	0.00
		k3:	6.50e-34	-2.65	0.00
28	HNO3 + HV = OH + NO2	Р	hot Set= HI	NO3	
29	OH + CO = HO2 + CO2	2.28e-13	k = k1	+ k2 [N	A]
		k1:	1.44e-13	0.00	0.00
		k2:	3.43e-33	0.00	0.00
30	OH + O3 = HO2 + O2	7.41e-14	1.70e-12	1.87	
31	HO2 + NO = OH + NO2	8.85e-12	3.60e-12	-0.54	
32	HO2 + NO2 = HNO4	1.12e-12	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	=1.00
		0:	2.00e-31	0.00	-3.40
		inf:	2.90e-12	0.00	-1.10
33	HNO4 = HO2 + NO2	1.07e-1	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	=1.00
		0:	3.72e-5	21.16	-2.40
		inf:	5.42e+15	22.20	-2.30
34	$HNO4 + HV = #.61 \{HO2 + NO2\} + #.39 \{OH + NO3\}$	Pho	ot Set= HN	04-06	
35	HNO4 + OH = H2O + NO2 + O2	4.61e-12	1.30e-12	-0.76	
36	HO2 + O3 = OH + #2 O2	2.05e-15	2.03e-16	-1.38	4.57
37	HO2 + HO2 = HO2H + O2	2.84e-12	k = k1	+ k2 [N	/I]
		k1:	2.20e-13	-1.19	0.00
		k2:	1.90e-33	-1.95	0.00
38	HO2 + HO2 + H2O = HO2H + O2 + H2O	6.09e-30	k = k1	+ k2 [N	/I]
		k1:	3.08e-34	-5.56	0.00
		k2:	2.66e-54	-6.32	0.00
39	$NO3 + HO2 = #.8 \{OH + NO2 + O2\} + #.2 \{HNO3 + O2\}$	4.00e-12			
10	02}	• • • • • •	0.50 10	4.05	
40	NO3 + NO3 = #2 NO2 + O2	2.41e-16	8.50e-13	4.87	
41	HO2H + HV = #2 OH	4	hot Set= H	202	
42	HO2H + OH = HO2 + H2O	1.80e-12	1.80e-12	0.00	
43	OH + HO2 = H2O + O2	1.10e-10	4.80e-11	-0.50	1 00
44	OH + SO2 = HO2 + SULF	9.49e-13	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	=1.00
		0:	3.30e-31	0.00	-4.30
4.7		inf:	1.60e-12	0.00	0.00
45	OH + H2 = HO2 + H2O	7.02e-15	7.70e-12	4.17	
Methyl pe	eroxy and methoxy reactions				
BR01	MEO2 + NO = NO2 + HCHO + HO2	7.64e-12	2.30e-12	-0.72	
BR02	MEO2 + HO2 = COOH + O2	4.65e-12	3.46e-13	-1.55	0.36
BR03	MEO2 + HO2 = HCHO + O2 + H2O	4.50e-13	3.34e-14	-1.55	-3.53
BR04	MEO2 + NO3 = HCHO + HO2 + NO2	1.30e-12			
BR05	MEO2 + MEO2 = MEOH + HCHO + O2	2.16e-13	6.39e-14	-0.73	-1.80
BR06	$MEO2 + MEO2 = #2 \{HCHO + HO2\}$	1.31e-13	7.40e-13	1.03	
Active Pe	roxy Radical Operators				
BR07	RO2C + NO = NO2	9.23e-12	2.60e-12	-0.76	
BR08	RO2C + HO2 = HO2	7.63e-12	3.80e-13	-1.79	

Table A-2 (continued)

		D	(D (F1 1	
Label	Reaction and Products [a]	Ka	te Paramete	rs [b]	р
		K(300)	А	Ea	В
BR09	RO2C + NO3 = NO2	2.30e-12			
BR10	$RO2C + MEO2 = #.5 \{RO2C + xHO2 + xHCHO + O2\}$	2.00e-13			
	+ #.25 {HCHO + MEOH}				
BR11	RO2C + RO2C =	3.50e-14			
BR12	RO2XC + NO = XN	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR07	
BR13	RO2XC + HO2 = HO2	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR08	
BR14	RO2XC + NO3 = NO2	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR09	
BR15	$RO2XC + MEO2 = #5 \{RO2C + xHO2 + xHCHO +$	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR10	
Bitte	$O2$ + #.25 {HCHO + MEOH}			21110	
BR16	RO2XC + RO2C =	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR11	
BR17	RO2XC + RO2XC =	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR11	
Reactions	of Acyl Peroxy Radicals, PAN, and PAN analogues				
RR18	$MECO3 + NO2 = P\Delta N$	937e-12	Falloff F=	030 N	=1.41
DICIO	MECOS + MOZ = I A M).57C-12 0·	$2.70e_{-}28$	0.50, 1	-7 10
		inf [.]	1.21e-11	0.00	-0.90
BR19	PAN = MFCO3 + NO2	6 27e-4	Falloff F=	0.00 0.30 N	$[=1 \ 41]$
DRI		0.270-4	4 90e-3	24 05	0.00
		inf [.]	4.00e+16	27.03	0.00
BR20	$PAN + HV = #6 \{MECO3 + NO2\} + #4 \{MEO2 +$		Phot Set= P	ΔN	0.00
DR20	CO2 + NO3	1			
BR21	MECO3 + NO = MEO2 + CO2 + NO2	1 97e-11	7 50e-12	-0.58	
BR21 BR22	MECO3 + HO2 = CCOOH + # 7 O2 + # 3 O3	1.970-11 1.36e-11	5 20e-13	-0.56	
BR22	MECO3 + NO2 = MEO2 + CO2 + NO2 + O2	1.500-11 Sar	5.200-15	-1.75 BR/10	
BR24	MECO3 + MEO2 = #0 (CCOOH + HCHO + O2) + #1	1.060.11	2 00 = 12	0 00	
DR24	$MECO3 + MEO2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ CCOOH + HCHO + O2 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ HCHO + HO2 + MEO2 + CO2 \right\}$	1.000-11	2.000-12	-0.77	
BR25	MECO3 + RO2C = CCOOH	1 56e-11	4.40e-13	-2.13	
BR26	MECO3 + RO2XC = CCOOH	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR25	
BR27	$MECO3 + MECO3 = #2 {MEO2 + CO2} + O2$	1 54e-11	2 90e-12	-0.99	
	$\frac{1}{10000} + \frac{1}{10000} = \frac{1}{10000} + \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	1.01.11	1.01 - 11	0.99	1.07
BK28	RCO3 + NO2 = PAN2	1.21e-11	1.21e-11	0.00	-1.07
BK29	PAN2 = RCU3 + NU2 $PCO2 + NO = NO2 + PO2C + PUO2 + POOU + POU + POOU + POOU + POUU + POU +$	5.48e-4	8.30e+16	27.70	
BK30	RCO3 + NO = NO2 + RO2C + XHO2 + YROOH +	2.08e-11	6./0e-12	-0.68	
BP31	RCO3 + HO2 = RCOOH + # 75 O2 + # 25 O3	Sar	na kas rvn	BBJJ	
BR31	RCO3 + NO2 = RCOOH + #.75 O2 + #.25 O5 RCO3 + NO3 = NO2 + RO2C + xHO2 + xROOH +	Sar	ne k as ryn		
DRJ2	xCCHO + CO2 + O2	Sai		DR09	
BB33	RCO3 + MEO2 = RCOOH + HCHO + O2	Sar	ne k as rvn	BR24	
BR34	RCO3 + RO2C = RCOOH + O2	Sar	ne k as ryn	BR24 BR25	
BR35	RCO3 + RO2XC = RCOOH + O2	Sar	ne k as ryn	BR25	
BR36	RCO3 + MECO3 = #2CO2 + MEO2 + RO2C + xHO2	Sar	ne k as ryn	BR23 BR27	
DRJU	+ yROOH + xCCHO + O2	Sai		DR27	
BR37	$RCO3 + RCO3 = #2 {RO2C + xHO2 + xCCHO + }$	Sar	ne k as rxn	BR27	
DIGI	vROOH + CO2	Jui		<i>J</i> 1\ <i>4</i>	
BD 20	B7CO3 + NO2 = DB7N	1 37e 11			
BB30	PR7N = P7CO3 + NO2	1.375-11 1 270 1	7 000+16	27 82	
BR39 BR40	$BZ(\Omega) = BZ(O) + 1002$ BZ(\Omega) + NO = NO2 + CO2 + BZO + PO2C	4.2/C-4 Sor	1.700 ± 10	27.02 BP 20	
DIX40	DLCOJ + INO = INOZ + COZ + DLO + ROZC	Sal	IIC K as IXII	DI/30	

Table A-2 (continued)

T 1 1		Rate Parameters [b]
Label	Reaction and Products [a]	k(300) A Ea B
BR41	BZCO3 + HO2 = RCOOH + #.75 O2 + #.25 O3 + #4 XC	Same k as rxn BR22
BR42	BZCO3 + NO3 = NO2 + CO2 + BZO + BO2C + O2	Same k as rxn BR09
BR43	BZCO3 + MEO2 = BCOOH + HCHO + O2 + #4 XC	Same k as $rxn BR24$
BR44	BZCO3 + RO2C = RCOOH + O2 + #4 XC	Same k as rxn BR25
BR45	BZCO3 + RO2XC = RCOOH + O2 + #4 XC	Same k as rxn BR25
BR46	BZCO3 + MECO3 = #2 CO2 + MEO2 + BZO + BO2C	Same k as rxn BR27
BR40	BZCO3 + RCO3 = #2 CO2 + RO2C + xHO2 + yROOH	Same k as ryn BR27
DICT/	+ xCCHO + BZO + RO2C	Sume k us fxii DK27
BR48	$BZCO3 + BZCO3 = #2 \{BZO + RO2C + CO2\}$	Same k as rxn BR27
BR49	MACO3 + NO2 = MAPAN	Same k as rxn BR28
BR50	MAPAN = MACO3 + NO2	4.79e-4 1.60e+16 26.80
BR51	MACO3 + NO = NO2 + CO2 + HCHO + MECO3	Same k as rxn BR30
BR52	MACO3 + HO2 = RCOOH + #.75 O2 + #.25 O3 + XC	Same k as rxn BR22
BR53	MACO3 + NO3 = NO2 + CO2 + HCHO + MECO3 + O2	Same k as rxn BR09
BR54	MACO3 + MEO2 = RCOOH + HCHO + XC + O2	Same k as rxn BR24
BR55	MACO3 + RO2C = RCOOH + XC	Same k as rxn BR25
BR56	MACO3 + RO2XC = RCOOH + O2 + XC	Same k as rxn BR25
BR57	MACO3 + MECO3 = #2 CO2 + MEO2 + HCHO +	Same k as rxn BR27
	MECO3 + O2	
BR58	MACO3 + RCO3 = HCHO + MECO3 + RO2C + xHO2 + $xROOH + xCCHO + #2 CO2$	2 Same k as rxn BR27
BR59	MACO3 + BZCO3 = HCHO + MECO3 + BZO + BCO2 + H2 CO2	Same k as rxn BR27
BR60	$MACO3 + MACO3 = #2 \{HCHO + MECO3 + CO2\}$	Same k as rxn BR27
Other Org	anic Radical Species	
BR61	$\overline{\text{TBUO} + \text{NO2}} = \overline{\text{RNO3}} + \#-2 \text{ XC}$	2.40e-11
BR62	TBUO = ACET + MEO2	1.18e+3 7.50e+14 16.20
BR63	$P_{A} = P_{A} = P_{A}$	3 700 11 2 300 11 0 30
BR64	BZO + HO2 = CRES + # 1 YC	Same k as ryn BR08
BR65	BZO = CRES + RO2C + xHO2 + #-1 XC	$1.00e^{-3}$
Steady-Sta	ate Peroxy Radical operators (for formation of inorganic a	and radical products) [c]
RO01	xHO2 = HO2	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO02	xHO2 =	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO03	xOH = OH	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO04	xOH =	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO05	xNO2 = NO2	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO06	xNO2 = XN	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO07	xMEO2 = MEO2	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO08	xMEO2 = XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO09	xMECO3 = MECO3	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO10	xMECO3 = #2 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO11	xRCO3 = RCO3	k is variable parameter: RO2RO
RO12	xRCO3 = #3 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO
RO13	xMACO3 = MACO3	k is variable parameter: RO2RO

Table A-2 (continued)

Labol	Departion and Draduate [a]	Rat	e Paramete	rs [b]	
Laber	Reaction and Floducts [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В
RO14	xMACO3 = #4 XC	k is variable	e parameter	: RO2X	RO
RO15	xTBUO = TBUO	k is variable	e parameter	RO2R	0
RO16	xTBUO = #4 XC	k is variable	e parameter	RO2X	RO
RO17	xCO = CO	k is variable	e parameter	RO2R	0
RO18	xCO = XC	k is variable	e parameter	RO2X	RO
RO19	xHNO3 = HNO3	k is variable	e parameter	: RO2R	0
RO20	xHNO3 = XN	k is variable	e parameter	: RO2X	RO
Explicit ar	d Lumped Molecule Organic Products				
BP01	HCHO + HV = #2 HO2 + CO	Phot	Set= HCH	OR-06	
BP02	HCHO + HV = H2 + CO	Phot	Set= HCH0	OM-06	
BP03	HCHO + OH = HO2 + CO + H2O	8.47e-12	5.40e-12	-0.27	
BP07	HCHO + NO3 = HNO3 + HO2 + CO	6.06e-16	2.00e-12	4.83	
BP08	CCHO + OH = MECO3 + H2O	1.49e-11	4.40e-12	-0.73	
BP09	CCHO + HV = CO + HO2 + MEO2	Pho	t Set= CCH	IO R	
BP10	CCHO + NO3 = HNO3 + MECO3	2.84e-15	1.40e-12	3.70	
BP11	RCHO + OH = #.965 RCO3 + #.035 {RO2C + xHO2 +	1.97e-11	5.10e-12	-0.80	
BP12	xCO + xCCHO + yROOH RCHO + HV = RO2C + $xHO2 + yROOH + xCCHO +$	Ph	ot Set= C20	СНО	
	CO + HO2				
BP13	RCHO + NO3 = HNO3 + RCO3	6.74e-15	1.40e-12	3.18	
BP14	ACET + OH = RO2C + xMECO3 + xHCHO + yROOH	1.91e-13	4.56e-14	-0.85	3.65
BP15	ACET + HV = #.62 MECO3 + #1.38 MEO2 + #.38 CO	Phot Se	t= ACET-0	6, qy= ().5
BP16	MEK + OH = #.967 RO2C + #.039 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.376 xHO2 + #.51 xMECO3 + #.074 xRCO3 + #.088 xHCHO + #.504 xCCHO + #.376 xRCHO + yROOH + #.3 XC	1.20e-12	1.30e-12	0.05	2.00
BP17	MEK + HV = MECO3 + RO2C + xHO2 + xCCHO + yROOH	Phot Set	= MEK-06,	qy= 0.1	175
BP18	MEOH + OH = HCHO + HO2	9 02e-13	2 85e-12	0 69	
BP19	HCOOH + OH = HO2 + CO2	4 50e-13	2.000	0.09	
BP20	CCOOH + OH = #.509 MEO2 + #.491 RO2C + #.509 CO2 + #.491 xHO2 + #.491 xMGLY + #.491 yROOH + # 0.401 XC	7.26e-13	4.20e-14	-1.70	
BP21	#+0.491 XC RCOOH + OH = RO2C + #.08 CO2 + xHO2 + #.063 CO2 + #.142 xCCHO + #.4 xRCHO + #.457 xBACL + yROOH + #-0.455 XC	1.20e-12			
BP22 BP23	$COOH + OH = H2O + #.3 {HCHO + OH} + #.7 MEO2$ $COOH + HV = HCHO + HO2 + OH$	7.40e-12 Pł	3.80e-12 not Set= CC	-0.40 OH	
BP24	ROOH + OH = #.744 OH + #.251 RO2C + #.004 RO2XC + #.004 zRNO3 + #.744 RCHO + #.239 xHO2 + #.012 xOH + #.012 xHCHO + #.012 xCCHO + #.205 xRCHO + #.034 xPROD2 + #.256 yROOH + #-0.115	2.50e-11			
BP25	ROOH + HV = RCHO + HO2 + OH	Pł	not Set= CC	ОН	

Table A-2 (continued)

Label	Reaction and Products [a]	Ra	te Paramete	ers [b]		
Laber	Reaction and Froducts [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В	
BP26 BP27	R6OOH + OH = #.84 OH + #.222 RO2C + #.029 RO2XC + #.029 zRNO3 + #.84 PROD2 + #.09 xHO2 + #.041 xOH + #.02 xCCHO + #.075 xRCHO + #.084 xPROD2 + #.16 yROOH + #.02 XC R6OOH + HV = OH + #.142 HO2 + #.782 RO2C + #.077 RO2XC + #.077 zRNO3 + #.085 RCHO + #.142 PROD2 + #.782 xHO2 + #.026 xCCHO + #.058 xRCHO + #.698 xPROD2 + #.858 yR6OOH + #.017 XC	5.60e-11 Phot Set= COOH				
BP28 BP29	RAOOH + OH = #.139 OH + #.148 HO2 + #.589 RO2C + #.124 RO2XC + #.124 zRNO3 + #.074 PROD2 + #.147 MGLY + #.139 IPRD + #.565 xHO2 + #.024 xOH + #.448 xRCHO + #.026 xGLY + #.030 xMEK + #.252 xMGLY + #.073 xAFG1 + #.073 xAFG2 + #.713 yR6OOH + #2.674 XC RAOOH + HV = OH + HO2 + #.5 {GLY + MGLY +	1.41e-10 P	hot Set= CC	ЮН		
	AFG1 + AFG2} + #.5 XC					
BP30	$GLY + HV = #2 \{CO + HO2\}$	Pho	ot Set= GLY	7-07R		
BP31 BP32	GLY + HV = HCHO + CO GLY + OH = #.63 HO2 + #1.26 CO + #.37 RCO3 + #37 XC	Pho 1.10e-11	ot Set= GLY	2-0/M		
BP33	GLY + NO3 = HNO3 + #.63 HO2 + #1.26 CO + #.37 RCO3 + #37 XC	1.02e-15	2.80e-12	4.72		
BP34	MGLY + HV = HO2 + CO + MECO3	Pho	ot Set= MG	LY-06		
BP35	MGLY + OH = CO + MECO3 $MGLY + NO2 = HNO2 + CO + MECO3$	1.50e-11	1 400 12	2 77		
BP37	MOL I + NOS = HNOS + CO + MILCOS $BACI + HV = #2 MECO3$	2.33C-13	1.400-12	3.77 TL 07		
	CPES + OH = #2 PZO + #9 (PO2C + *HO2 + *HO2)	4.020.11	$1.70 \circ 12$	1 90		
DF30	vR6OOH + #.25 xMGLY + #5.05 XC	4.036-11	1.706-12	-1.69		
BP39	CRES + NO3 = HNO3 + BZO + XC	1.40e-11				
BP40 BP41 BP42	NPHE + OH = BZO + XN NPHE + HV = HONO + $\#6$ XC NPHE + HV = $\#6$ XC + XN	3.50e-12 Phot Set Phot Set	= NO2-06, = NO2-06,	qy= 1.56 qy= 1.56	e-3 e-2	
BP43 BP44 BP45	BALD + OH = BZCO3 BALD + HV = #7 XC BALD + NO3 = HNO3 + BZCO3	1.20e-11 Phot Set 2.73e-15	= BALD-0 1.34e-12	6, qy= 0. 3.70	.06	

Table A-2 (continued)

Labol	Ponotion and Products [a]	Ra	te Paramet	ers [b]	
Label	Reaction and Floquets [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В
Lumped	Unsaturated Aromatic Ring-Opening Products				
BP46	AFG1 + OH = #.217 MACO3 + #.723 RO2C + #.060 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.060 zRNO3 + #.521 xHO2 + #.201 xMECO3 + #.334 xCO + #.407 xRCHO + #.129 xMEK + #.107 xGLY + #.267 xMGLY + #.783	7.40e-11			
BP47	yR6OOH + #076 XC AFG1 + O3 = #.826 OH + #.522 HO2 + #.652 RO2C + #.522 CO + #.174 CO2 + #.432 GLY + #.568 MGLY + #.652 xRCO3 + #.652 xHCHO + #.652 yR6OOH + #- 872 XC	9.66e-18			
BP48	AFG1 + HV = #1.023 HO2 + #.173 MEO2 + #.305 MECO3 + #.500 MACO3 + #.695 CO + #.195 GLY + #.305 MGLY + #.217 XC	Р	Phot Set= A	FG1	
BP49	AFG2 + OH = #.217 MACO3 + #.723 RO2C + #.060 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.060 zRNO3 + #.521 xHO2 + #.201 xMECO3 + #.334 xCO + #.407 xRCHO + #.129 xMEK + #.107 xGLY + #.267 xMGLY + #.783 yR6OOH + #076 XC	7.40e-11			
BP50	AFG2 + O3 = #.826 OH + #.522 HO2 + #.652 RO2C + #.522 CO + #.174 CO2 + #.432 GLY + #.568 MGLY + #.652 xRCO3 + #.652 xHCHO + #.652 yR6OOH + #- 872 XC	9.66e-18			
BP51	AFG2 + HV = PROD2 + #-1 XC	Р	hot Set= A	FG1	
BP52	AFG3 + OH = #.206 MACO3 + #.733 RO2C + #.117 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.117 zRNO3 + #.561 xHO2 + #.117 xMECO3 + #.114 xCO + #.274 xGLY + #.153 xMGLY + #.019 xBACL + #.195 xAFG1 + #.195 xAFG2 + #.231 xIPRD + #.794 vR6OOH + #.236 XC	9.35e-11			
BP53	AFG3 + O3 = #.471 OH + #.554 HO2 + #.013 MECO3 + #.258 RO2C + #.007 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.007 zRNO3 + #.580 CO + #.190 CO2 + #.366 GLY + #.184 MGLY + #.350 AFG1 + #.350 AFG2 + #.139 AFG3 + #.003 MACR + #.004 MVK + #.003 IPRD + #.095 xHO2 + #.163 xRCO3 + #.163 xHCHO + #.095 xMCLY + #.264 xP6OOL + #.617 XC	1.43e-17			
BP54		2.84e-11	8.00e-12	-0.76	
BP55	MACR + O3 = #.208 OH + #.108 HO2 + #.1 RO2C + #.45 CO + #.117 CO2 + #.1 HCHO + #.9 MGLY + #.333 HCOOH + #.1 xRCO3 + #.1 xHCHO + #.1 vROOH + #-0.1 XC	1.28e-18	1.40e-15	4.17	
BP56	MACR + NO3 = $\#.5$ {MACO3 + RO2C + HNO3 + xHO2 + xCO} + $\#.5$ xROOH + $\#1.5$ XC + $\#.5$ XN	3.54e-15	1.50e-12	3.61	
BP57	MACR + O3P = RCHO + XC	6.34e-12			

Table A-2 (continued)

Label	Reaction and Products [a]	Ra k(300)	ers [b] Ea	В	
BP58	MACR + HV = #.33 OH + #.67 HO2 + #.34 MECO3 + #.33 MACO3 + #.33 RO2C + #.67 CO + #.34 HCHO + #.33 xMECO3 + #.33 xHCHO + #.33 yROOH	Pho	ot Set= MA	CR-06	
BP59	MVK + OH = #.975 RO2C + #.025 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.3 xHO2 + #.675 xMECO3 + #.3 xHCHO + #.675 xRCHO + #.3 xMGLY + yROOH + #-0.725 XC	1.99e-11	2.60e-12	-1.21	
BP60	MVK + O3 = #.164 OH + #.064 HO2 + #.05 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.475 CO + #.124 CO2 + #.05 HCHO + #.95 MGLY + #.351 HCOOH + #.05 xRCO3 + #.05 xHCHO + #.05 yROOH + #-0.05 XC	5.36e-18	8.50e-16	3.02	
BP61	MVK + NO3 = #4 XC + XN		(Slow)		
BP62	MVK + O3P = #.45 RCHO + #.55 MEK + #.45 XC	4.32e-12			
BP63	MVK + HV = #.4 MEO2 + #.6 CO + #.6 PROD2 + #.4 MACO3 + #-2.2 XC	Ph	ot Set= MV	′K-06	
BP64	IPRD + OH = #.289 MACO3 + #.67 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.041 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.336 xCO + #.055 xHCHO + #.129 xCCHO + #.013 xRCHO + #.15 xMEK + #.332 xPROD2 + #.15 xGLY + #.174 xMGLY + #-0.504 XC + #.711 yR6OOH	6.19e-11			
BP65	IPRD + O3 = #.285 OH + #.4 HO2 + #.048 {RO2C + xRCO3} + #.498 CO + #.14 CO2 + #.124 HCHO + #.21 MEK + #.023 GLY + #.742 MGLY + #.1 HCOOH + #.372 RCOOH + #.047 xCCHO + #.001 xHCHO + #.048 vR6OOH + #329 XC	4.18e-18			
BP66	IPRD + NO3 = #.15 {MACO3 + HNO3} + #.799 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.051 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.572 xCO + #.227 xHCHO + #.218 xRCHO + #.008 xMGLY + #.572 xRNO3 + #.85 yR6OOH + #.278 XN + #815 XC	1.00e-13			
BP67	IPRD + HV = #1.233 HO2 + #.467 MECO3 + #.3 RCO3 + #1.233 CO + #.3 HCHO + #.467 CCHO + #.233 MEK + #233 XC	Pho	ot Set= MA	CR-06	
Lumped P	arameter Organic Products				
BP68	PROD2 + OH = #.472 HO2 + #.473 RO2C + #.070 RO2XC + #.070 zRNO3 + #.002 HCHO + #.001 CCHO + #.143 RCHO + #.329 PROD2 + #.379 xHO2 + #.029 xMECO3 + #.049 xRCO3 + #.211 xHCHO + #.083 xCCHO + #.402 xRCHO + #.115 xMEK + #.007	1.55e-11			
BP69	xPROD2 + #.528 yR6OOH + #.883 XC PROD2 + HV = #.400 MECO3 + #.600 RCO3 + #1.590 RO2C + #.086 RO2XC + #.086 zRNO3 + #.914 xHO2 + #.303 xHCHO + #.163 xCCHO + #.780 xRCHO + yR6OOH + #085 XC	Phot Set=	= MEK-06,	qy= 4.86	5e-3

Table A-2 (continued)

Lahel	Reaction and Products [a]	Rate			
Laber	Reaction and Froducts [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В
BP70	RNO3 + OH = #.019 NO2 + #.189 HO2 + #.976 RO2C	7.20e-12			
	+ #.175 RO2XC + #.175 zRNO3 + #.001 RCHO +				
	#.010 MEK + #.007 PROD2 + #.189 RNO3 + #.312				
	xNO2 + #.305 xHO2 + #.011 xHCHO + #.428 xCCHO				
	+ #.036 xRCHO + #.004 xACET + #.170 xMEK +				
	#.030 xPROD2 + #.305 xRNO3 + #.792 yR6OOH +				
	#.175 XN + #.054 XC				
BP71	RNO3 + HV = NO2 + #.344 HO2 + #.721 RO2C +	Phot	Set=IC3	30NO2	
	#.102 RO2XC + #.102 zRNO3 + #.074 HCHO + #.214				
	CCHO + #.074 RCHO + #.124 MEK + #.190 PROD2 +				
	#.554 xHO2 + #.061 xHCHO + #.230 xCCHO + #.063				
	xRCHO + #.008 xACET + #.083 xMEK + #.261				
	xPROD2 + #.656 yR6OOH + #.396 XC				

Steady-State Perox	y Radical operators	(for formation of	organic	product sp	ecies formed in
norovy NO react	iona) [o]		-	• •	

Steady-Sta	Steady-State Peroxy Radical operators (for formation of organic product species formed in							
peroxy +]	NO reactions) [c]							
PO01	xHCHO = HCHO	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO02	xHCHO = XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO03	xCCHO = CCHO	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO04	xCCHO = #2 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO05	xRCHO = RCHO	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO06	xRCHO = #3 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO07	xACET = ACET	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO08	xACET = #3 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO09	xMEK = MEK	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO10	xMEK = #4 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO11	xPROD2 = PROD2	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO12	xPROD2 = #6 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO13	xGLY = GLY	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO14	xGLY = #2 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO15	xMGLY = MGLY	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO16	xMGLY = #3 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO17	xBACL = BACL	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO18	xBACL = #4 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO19	xBALD = BALD	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO20	xBALD = #7 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO21	xAFG1 = AFG1	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO22	xAFG1 = #5 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO23	xAFG2 = AFG2	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO24	xAFG2 = #5 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO25	xAFG3 = AFG3	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO26	xAFG3 = #7 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO27	xMACR = MACR	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO28	xMACR = #4 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO29	xMVK = MVK	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO30	xMVK = #4 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						
PO31	xIPRD = IPRD	k is variable parameter: RO2RO						
PO32	xIPRD = #5 XC	k is variable parameter: RO2XRO						

Table A-2 (continued)

T -1-1	Departies and Draduate [a]	Rate Parameters [b]					
Label	Reaction and Products [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В		
PO33	xRNO3 = RNO3	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO34	xRNO3 = #6 XC + XN	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	KRO		
PO35	xHCOOH = HCOOH	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO36	xHCOOH = XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	KRO		
PO37	xCCOOH = CCOOH	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO38	xCCOOH = #2 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	KRO		
PO39	xRCOOH = RCOOH	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO40	xRCOOH = #3 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	KRO		
Steady-Sta	te Peroxy Radical operators (for formation of organic nit	rates formed	d in peroxy	+ <u>NO</u>			
reactions)	<u>[d]</u>						
PO41	zRNO3 = RNO3 + #-1 XN	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO21	NO		
PO42	zRNO3 = PROD2 + HO2	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	2NN		
PO43	zRNO3 = #6 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO22	KRO		
Steady-Sta	te Peroxy Radical operators (for formation of hydroperox	ides forme	<u>d in peroxy</u>	$+ HO_2$			
reactions)	<u>[e]</u>						
PO44	yROOH = ROOH + #-3 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	HO2		
PO45	yROOH = MEK + #-4 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO2M		
PO46	yROOH =	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO47	yR6OOH = R6OOH + #-6 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	HO2		
PO48	yR6OOH = PROD2 + #-6 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO2M		
PO49	yR6OOH =	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
PO50	yRAOOH = RAOOH + #-8 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	HO2		
PO51	yRAOOH = PROD2 + #-6 XC	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO2M		
PO52	yRAOOH =	k is variabl	e parameter	:: RO2I	RO		
Explicitly	Represented Primary Organics						
BE01	CH4 + OH = H2O + MEO2	6.62e-15	1.85e-12	3.36			
BE02	ETHENE + OH = RO2C + xHO2 + #1.61 xHCHO +	8.15e-12	Falloff, F=	0.60, N	1.00		
	#.195 xCCHO + yROOH	0:	1.00e-28	0.00	-4.50		
	,	inf:	8.80e-12	0.00	-0.85		
BE03	ETHENE + O3 = #.16 OH + #.16 HO2 + #.51 CO + # 12 CO2 + HCHO + # 37 HCOOH	1.68e-18	9.14e-15	5.13			
BE04	FTHENE + NO3 = RO2C + xHO2 + xRCHO +	2 24e-16	3 30e-12	5 72	2 00		
2201	vROOH + #-1 XC + XN		0.000	0.72	2.00		
BE05	ETHENE + O3P = #.8 HO2 + #.51 MEO2 + #.29 RO2C	7.43e-13	1.07e-11	1.59			
	+ # 51 CO + # 1 CCHO + # 29 xHO2 + # 278 xCO +	,					
	#.278 xHCHO + #.012 xGLY + #.29 yROOH + #.2 XC						
BE06	$ISOPRENE + OH = #986 RO2C + #093 {RO2XC + }$	9 96e-11	2 54e-11	-0.81			
2200	zRNO3 + # 907 xHO2 + # 624 xHCHO + # 23	<i></i>	2.0	0.01			
	xMACR + # 32 xMVK + # 357 xIPRD + vR600H + #-						
	0.167 XC						
BE07	ISOPRENE + O3 = #266 OH + #066 HO2 + #192	1 34e-17	7 86e-15	3 80			
DLUI	$RO2C + \#008 \{RO2XC + 7RNO3\} + \#275 CO + \#122$	1.5 10 17	7.000 10	5.00			
	CO2 + #4 HCHO + #1 PROD2 + #39 MACR + #16	,					
	MVK + #15 IPRD + #204 HCOOH + #192						
	$xMACO3 + xHCHO} + #2 xR6OOH + #-0 559 XC$						

Table A-2 (continued)

т 1 1		Rate Parameters [b]					
Label	Reaction and Products [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В		
BE08 BE09	ISOPRENE + NO3 = #.936 RO2C + #.064 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.749 xHO2 + #.187 xNO2 + #.936 xIPRD + yR6OOH + #-0.064 XC + #.813 XN ISOPRENE + O3P = #.25 MEO2 + #.24 RO2C + #.01 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.75 PROD2 + #.24 xMACO3 +	6.81e-13 3.50e-11	3.03e-12	0.89			
BE10	#.24 xHCHO + #.25 yR6OOH + #-1.01 XC ACETYLEN + OH = #.7 OH + #.3 HO2 + #.3 CO + #.7 GL X + # 3 HCOOH	7.56e-13	Falloff, F=	0.60, N=	=1.00		
BE11	ACETYLEN + O3 = #.5 OH + #1.5 HO2 + #1.5 CO + #.5 CO2	1.16e-20	1.00e-14	8.15			
BE12	BENZENE + OH = #.116 OH + #.29 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.024 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.57 {HO2 + CRES} + #.116 AFG3 + #.290 xGLY + #.029 xAFG1 + #.261 xAFG2 + #.314 yRAOOH + #976 XC	1.22e-12	2.33e-12	0.38			
Reactions	of Compounds represented explicitly in the chamber simul	lations					
CH05	N-C4 + OH = #1.334 RO2C + #.079 RO2XC + #.079 zRNO3 + #.921 xHO2 + #.632 xCCHO + #.120 xRCHO + # 485 xMEK + yPOOH + # .038 XC	2.38e-12	1.63e-12	-0.23			
СН09	N-C8 + OH = #1.432 RO2C + #.354 RO2XC + #.354 zRNO3 + #.646 xHO2 + #.024 xRCHO + #.622	8.16e-12	2.45e-12	-0.72			
CH11	xPROD2 + yR60OH + #2.072 XC PROPENE + OH = #.984 RO2C + #.016 RO2XC + #.016 zRNO3 + #.984 xHO2 + #.984 xHCHO + #.984	2.60e-11	4.85e-12	-1.00			
CH12	xCCHO + yROOH + #048 XC PROPENE + O3 = #.350 OH + #.165 HO2 + #.355 MEO2 + #.525 CO + #.215 CO2 + #.500 HCHO + #.500	1.05e-17	5.51e-15	3.73			
CH13	CCHO + #.185 HCOOH + #.075 CCOOH + #.070 XC PROPENE + NO3 = #.949 RO2C + #.051 RO2XC + #.051 zRNO3 + #.949 xHO2 + yROOH + #2.694 XC +	9.73e-15	4.59e-13	2.30			
CH14	XIN PROPENE + O3P = #.450 RCHO + #.550 MEK + #- 550 XC	4.01e-12	1.02e-11	0.56			
CH16	T-2-BUTE + OH = $\#.965 \text{ RO2C} + \#.035 \text{ RO2XC} + \#.035 \text{ zRNO3} + \#.965 \text{ xHO2} + \#1.930 \text{ xCCHO} + \text{PO2NU} + \#.965 \text{ area} = 1000 \text{ area} = 10000 \text{ area} = 10000 \text{ area} = 10000 \text{ area} = 100000000000000000000000000000000000$	6.32e-11	1.01e-11	-1.09			
CH17	yROOH + #070 XC T-2-BUTE + O3 = #.540 OH + #.170 HO2 + #.710 MEO2 + #.540 CO + #.310 CO2 + CCHO + #.150	1.95e-16	6.64e-15	2.10			
CH18	T-2-BUTE + NO3 = #.920 RO2C + #.080 RO2XC + #.080 zRNO3 + #.705 xNO2 + #.215 xHO2 + #1.410 xCCHO + #.215 xRNO3 + yROOH + #590 XC + # 080 XN	3.93e-13	1.10e-13	-0.76			
CH19	T-2-BUTE + O3P = MEK	1.99e-11	1.09e-11	-0.36			

Table A-2 (continued)

Label	Reaction and Products [a]	Ra k(300)	te Paramete A	ers [b] Ea	В
CH21	TOLUENE + OH = #.312 {OH + AFG3} + #.181 {HO2 + CRES} + #.454 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.054 {RO2XC +zRNO3} + #.238 xGLY + #.151 xMGLY + #.065 xBALD + #.195 xAFG1 + #.195 xAFG2 + #.073 yP6OOH + #.435 yP AOOH + # _109 XC	5.58e-12	1.81e-12	-0.67	
CH23	M-XYLENE + OH = #.239 {OH + AFG3} + #.159 {HO2 + CRES} + #.52 {RO2C + xHO2} + #.082 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.100 xGLY + #.380 xMGLY + #.041 xBALD + #.336 xAFG1 + #.144 xAFG2 + #.047 yR6OOH + #.555 yRAOOH+ #.695 XC	2.31e-11			
Reactions C201	of Ethane used in its Atmospheric Reactivity Simulations ETHANE + OH = RO2C + xHO2 + xCCHO + yROOH	2.54E-13	1.34E-12	0.992	2.0
Reactions	of Lumped Species used in Atmospheric Reactivity Simul	ations			
BL01	ALK1 + OH = RO2C + xHO2 + xCCHO + vROOH	2.54e-13	1.34e-12	0.99	
BL02	ALK2 + OH = #.965 RO2C + #.035 {RO2XC +	1.11e-12	1.49e-12	0.17	
	zRNO3} + #.965 xHO2 + #.261 xRCHO + #.704 xACET + yROOH + #105 XC				
BL03	ALK3 + OH = #1.253 RO2C + #.07 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.694 xHO2 + #.236 xTBUO + #.026 xHCHO + #.445 xCCHO + #.122 xRCHO + #.024 xACET + # 332 xMEK + yROOH + #- 046 XC	2.31e-12	1.51e-12	-0.25	
BL04	ALK4 + OH = $\#1.773 \text{ RO2C} + \#.144 \{\text{RO2XC} + \text{zRNO3}\} + \#.834 \text{ xHO2} + \#.011 \text{ xMEO2} + \#.011 \text{ xMEO3} + \#.002 \text{ xCO} + \#.030 \text{ xHCHO} + \#.454 \text{ xCCHO} + \#.242 \text{ xRCHO} + \#.442 \text{ xACET} + \#.110 \text{ xMEK} + \#128 \text{ xPROD2} + \text{vR6OOH} + \#_{-}097 \text{ XC}$	4.26e-12	3.67e-12	-0.09	
BL05	ALK5 + OH = #1.597 RO2C + #.348 {RO2XC + ZRNO3} + #.652 xHO2 + #.037 xHCHO + #.099 xCCHO + #.199 xRCHO + #.066 xACET + #.080 xMEK + #.425 xPROD2 + yR6OOH + #2.012 XC	9.22e-12	2.65e-12	-0.74	
BL06	OLE1 + OH = #1.138 RO2C + #.095 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.904 xHO2 + #.001 xMEO2 + #.700 xHCHO + #.301 xCCHO + #.470 xRCHO + #.005 xACET + #.119 xPROD2 + #.026 xMACR + #.008 xMVK + #.006 xIPRD + yROOH + #.822 XC	3.29e-11	6.18e-12	-1.00	
BL07	OLE1 + O3 = #.193 OH + #.116 HO2 + #.104 MEO2 + #.063 RO2C + #.004 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.368 CO + #.125 CO2 + #.500 HCHO + #.147 CCHO + #.353 RCHO + #.006 MEK + #.189 PROD2 + #.185 HCOOH + #.022 CCOOH + #.112 RCOOH + #.040 xHO2 + #.007 xCCHO + #.031 xRCHO + #.002 xACET + #.044 vR6OOH + #.69 XC	1.09e-17	3.15e-15	3.38	
BL08	OLE1 + NO3 = #1.312 RO2C + #.176 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.824 xHO2 + #.009 xCCHO + #.002 xRCHO + #.024 xACET + #.546 xRNO3 + yR6OOH + #.454 XN + #.572 XC	1.44e-14	4.73e-13	2.08	

Table A-2 (continued)

Label	Reaction and Products [a]	Ra	te Paramete	ers [b]	
Luou		k(300)	А	Ea	В
BL09	OLE1 + O3P = #.450 RCHO + #.437 MEK + #.113 PROD2 + #1.224 XC	5.02e-12	1.49e-11	0.65	
BL10	OLE2 + OH = #.966 RO2C + #.086 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.914 xHO2 + #.209 xHCHO + #.787 xCCHO + #.483 xRCHO + #.136 xACET + #.076 xMEK + #.021 xPROD2 + #.027 xMACR + #.002 xMVK + #.037 xIPRD + yR6OOH + #.113 XC	6.41e-11	1.26e-11	-0.97	
BL11	OLE2 + O3 = #.421 OH + #.093 HO2 + #.290 MEO2 + #.199 RO2C + #.003 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.296 CO + #.162 CO2 + #.152 HCHO + #.426 CCHO + #.316 RCHO + #.048 ACET + #.031 MEK + #.042 PROD2 + #.028 MACR + #.021 MVK + #.033 HCOOH + #.061 CCOOH + #.222 RCOOH + #.039 xHO2 + #.147 xMECO3 + #.007 xRCO3 + #.108 xHCHO + #.066 xCCHO + #.019 xRCHO + #.196 vR6OOH + #.133 XC	1.24e-16	8.15e-15	2.49	
BL12	OLE2 + NO3 = #1.185 RO2C + #.136 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.409 xNO2 + #.423 xHO2 + #.033 xMEO2 + #.074 xHCHO + #.546 xCCHO + #.153 xRCHO + #.110 xACET + #.002 xMEK + #.026 xMVK + #.007 xIPRD + #.322 xRNO3 + yR6OOH + #.270 XN + #.117 XC	7.70e-13	2.15e-13	-0.76	
BL13	OLE2 + O3P = #.014 HO2 + #.013 RO2C + #.074 RCHO + #.709 MEK + #.203 PROD2 + #.007 xHO2 + #.007 xMACO3 + #.006 xCO + #.006 xMACR + #.014 yR6OOH + #.666 XC	2.06e-11	1.43e-11	-0.22	
BL14	ARO1 + OH = #.283 OH + #.166 HO2 + #.483 RO2C + #.068 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.166 CRES + #.283 AFG3 + #.483 xHO2 + #.217 xGLY + #.138 xMGLY + #.049 xBALD + #.079 xPROD2 + #.164 xAFG1 + #.192 xAFG2 + #.150 yR6OOH + #.402 yRAOOH + #.004 XC	6.18e-12			
BL15	ARO2 + OH = #.199 OH + #.108 HO2 + #.582 RO2C + #.111 RO2XC + #.111 zRNO3 + #.108 CRES + #.199 AFG3 + #.582 xHO2 + #.111 xGLY + #.291 xMGLY + #.104 xBACL + #.033 xBALD + #.042 xPROD2 + #.223 xAFG1 + #.211 xAFG2 + #.074 xAFG3 + #.090 yR6OOH + #.603 yRAOOH+ #1.503 XC	2.20e-11			
BL16	TERP + OH = #1.147 RO2C + #.2 {RO2XC + zRNO3} + #.759 xHO2 + #.042 xRCO3 + #.002 xCO + #.264 xHCHO + #.533 xRCHO + #.036 xACET + #.005 xMEK + #.255 xPROD2 + #.009 xMGLY + #.014 xBACL + #.002 xMVK + #.001 xIPRD + yR6OOH + #5.055 XC	7.98e-11	1.87e-11	-0.86	

Table A-2 (continued)

Lahal	Reaction and Products [a]		Rate Parameters [b]					
Laber	Reaction and Products [a]	k(300)	А	Ea	В			
BL17	TERP + O3 = #.585 OH + #.052 HO2 + #.875 RO2C +	6.99e-17	1.02e-15	1.60				
	#.203 RO2XC + #.203 zRNO3 + #.166 CO + #.045 CO2							
	+ #.079 HCHO + #.004 MEK + #.409 PROD2 + #.107							
	HCOOH + #.043 RCOOH + #.067 xHO2 + #.126							
	xMECO3 + #.149 xRCO3 + #.019 xCO + #.150 xHCHO							
	+ #.220 xRCHO + #.165 xACET + #.001 xGLY + #.002							
	xMGLY + #.055 xBACL + #.001 xMACR + #.001							
	xIPRD + #.545 yR6OOH + #3.526 XC							
BL18	TERP + NO3 = #1.508 RO2C + #.397 RO2XC + #.397	6.53e-12	1.28e-12	-0.97				
	zRNO3 + #.422 xNO2 + #.162 xHO2 + #.019 xRCO3 +							
	#.010 xCO + #.017 xHCHO + #.001 xCCHO + #.509							
	xRCHO + #.174 xACET + #.001 xMGLY + #.003							
	xMACR + #.001 xMVK + #.002 xIPRD + #.163 xRNO3							
	+ yR6OOH + #4.476 XC + #.415 XN							
BL19	TERP + O3P = #.147 RCHO + #.853 PROD2 + #4.441	3.71e-11						
	XC							

- [a] Format of reaction listing: "=" separates reactants from products; "#number" indicates stoichiometric coefficient, "#coefficient {product list}" means that the stoichiometric coefficient is applied to all the products listed.
- [b] Except as indicated, the rate constants are given by $k(T) = A \cdot (T/300)^B \cdot e^{-Ea/RT}$, where the units of k and A are cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹, Ea are kcal mol⁻¹, T is ^oK, and R=0.0019872 kcal mol⁻¹ deg⁻¹. If A, Ea, and B are not given the rate constants are assumed to be temperature independent. The following special rate constant expressions are used:

<u>Phot Set = name</u>: The absorption cross sections and (if applicable) quantum yields for the photolysis reaction are given by Carter (2009). Here, "name" indicates the photolysis set used. If a "qy=number" notation is given, the number given is the overall quantum yield, which is assumed to be wavelength independent. Photolysis rates used in chamber and ambient simulations are given in Table A-3.

- <u>Falloff</u>: The rate constant as a function of temperature and pressure is calculated using $k(T,M) = {k0(T) \cdot [M]/[1 + k0(T) \cdot [M]/kinf(T)]} \cdot F^{Z}$, where $Z = {1 + [log10 {k0(T) \cdot [M]}/kinf(T)}/N]^{2} }^{-1}$, [M] is the total pressure in molecules cm⁻³, F and N are as indicated on the table, and the temperature dependences of k0 and kinf are as indicated on the table.
- <u>k</u> = k0+k3M(1+k3M/k2): The rate constant as a function of temperature and pressure is calculated using $k(T,M) = k0(T) + k3(T) \cdot [M] \cdot (1 + k3(T) \cdot [M]/k2(T))$, where [M] is the total bath gas (air) concentration in molecules cm-3, and the temperature dependences for k0, k2 and k3 are as indicated on the table.
- $\underline{k = k1 + k2 [M]}$: The rate constant as a function of temperature and pressure is calculated using $k(T,M) = k1(T) + k2(T) \cdot [M]$, where [M] is the total bath gas (air) concentration in molecules cm-3, and the temperature dependences for k1, and k2 are as indicated on the table.

Same K as Rxn xx: Uses the same rate constant as the reaction with the same label.

<u>k is variable parameter *name*</u>: The rate constant is calculated using variable parameters that are calculated using concentrations of various species. See Footnotes [c], [d], and [e], below.

[c] The xPROD chemical operator species are used to represent the formation of radicals and products from alkoxy radicals formed in the reactions of peroxy radicals with NO, NO₃, and other peroxy radicals. These products are not formed when peroxy radicals react with HO₂ and acyl peroxy

Table A-2 (continued)

radicals, since those reactions are assumed not form alkoxy radicals, but instead form hydroperoxides or H-shift disproportion products that are represented by separate yROOH chemical operator species, discussed in a separate footnote. The reactions of peroxy radicals with other peroxy radicals are assumed to form alkoxy radicals 50% of the time, so the products from alkoxy radical reactions are represented as being formed in 50% yields in these reactions. The consumption and products formed from these species can be represented in several ways. The most straightforward method is to include a reaction for each of the types of peroxy radical reactions, as follows:

xPROD + NO → NO + PROD xPROD + HO2 → HO2 xPROD + NO3 → NO3 + PROD xPROD + MECO3 → MECO3 (& similar reactions for RCO3, BZCO3, and MACO3) xPROD + RO2C → RO2C + 1/2 PROD (& a similar reaction for RO2XC)

where "PROD" represents the product species for the operator (e.g, HO2 for xHO2). The rate constants for these reactions should be the same as those for the corresponding reactions of RO2C or RO2XC. This is a somewhat cumbersome method because it requires 9 reactions for each of the many xPROD species. An alternative method, implemented in this table, uses the coefficient "RO2RO" to determine the rate of formation of the product species and "RO2XRO" to represent processes where the product is not formed. These are calculated as follows, where the k(RO2+...)'s refer to the rate constants for the reactions of RO2C or RO2XC with the indicated reactant.

RO2RO = k(RO2+NO)[NO] + k(RO2+NO3)[NO3] + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+[RO2XC]} RO2XRO = k(RO2+HO2)[HO2] + k(RO2+MECO3){[MECO3]+[RCO3]+[BZCO3]+ [MACO3]) + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+ [RO2XC]}

The steady state approximation <u>must</u> be used for these operators when this representation is used, and the operators must not be allowed to be diluted or transported.

[d] The zRNO3 chemical operator species is used to represent the formation organic nitrates formed when peroxy radicals react with NO, or formation of radicals and products from alkoxy radicals formed in the reactions of peroxy radicals with NO₃ and other peroxy radicals. These products are not formed when peroxy radicals react with HO₂ and acyl peroxy radicals, since those reactions are assumed not form organic nitrates or alkoxy radicals, but instead form hydroperoxides or H-shift disproportion products that are represented by separate yROOH chemical operator species, discussed in a separate footnote. The zRNO3 operator corresponds to the single lumped organic nitrate model species in the present mechanism, but other such operators an operatorscan be added if it is desired to have separate organic nitrate model species. In the case of zRNO3, the products resulting if alkoxy radicals are formed in the RCO3 or RO2 reactions would depend on reactant and individual radicals, and are approximated by PROD2 and HO2 (as might occur following the reaction of a peroxy radical with O2 to form HO2 and a ketone species). As with the xPROD species, the consumption and products formed from these species can be represented in several ways, with the most straightforward method being to include a reaction for each of the types of peroxy radical reactions, as follows:

> $zRNO3 + NO \rightarrow NO + RNO3$ $zRNO3 + HO2 \rightarrow HO2$ $zRNO3 + NO3 \rightarrow NO3 + PROD2 + HO2$ $zRNO3 + MECO3 \rightarrow MECO3$ (& similar reactions for RCO3, BZCO3, and MACO3) $zRNO3 + RO2C \rightarrow RO2C + 1/2$ {PROD2 + HO2} (& a similar reaction for RO2XC)

The rate constants for these reactions should be the same as the rate constant for the corresponding reactions of RO2C or RO2XC. As with xPROD, an alternative method, requiring fewer reactions, is implemented in this table. In this case, the coefficient "RO2NO" is used to determine the rate of

Table A-2 (continued)

formation of organic nitrates, "RO22NN" is used to determine the rate of formation of the alkoxy radical products, and "RO2XRO" is used to represent processes where these products are is not formed, and is the same as used for xPROD. These are calculated as follows, where the k(RO2+...)'s refer to the rate constants for the reactions of RO2C or RO2XC with the indicated reactant.

RO2NO = k(RO2+NO)[NO] RO22NN = k(RO2+NO3)[NO3] + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+ [RO2XC]} RO2XRO = k(RO2+HO2)[HO2] + k(RO2+MECO3){[MECO3]+[RCO3]+[BZCO3]+ [MACO3]) + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+ [RO2XC]} (same as used for xPROD)

The steady state approximation <u>must</u> be used for these operators when this representation is used, and the operators must not be allowed to be diluted or transported.

[e] The yROOH chemical operator species is used to represent the formation of organic hydroperoxides formed with peroxy radicals react with HO₂, or of H-shift disproportionation products formed when peroxy radicals react with acyl peroxy radicals or (in 50% yields) with other peroxy radicals. Note that the products formed when peroxy radicals react to form alkoxy radicals or organic nitrates (in the NO reaction) are represented using separate xPROD or zRNO3 species, and together these three types of operators represent all the products and radicals formed. Separate such yROOH species are used to represent formation of hydroperoxides or H-shift disproportion products in different molecular weight ranges or volatilities, and more can be added as needed for appropriate predictions of SOA formation. The hydroperoxide formed in the HO2 reaction is represented by either ROOH, R6OOH, or RAOOH, and the H-shift disproportion products are represented by either MEK (for yROOH) or PROD2 (for the others). As with the xPROD and zRNO3 species, the consumption and products formed from these species can be represented in several ways, with the most straightforward method being to include a reaction for each of the types of peroxy radical reactions, as follows for yROOH (the reactions for the other two are analogous).

yROOH + NO → NO yROOH + HO2 → HO2 + ROOH yROOH + NO3 → NO3 yROOH + MECO3 → MECO3 + MEK (& similar reactions for RCO3, BZCO3, and MACO3)

yROOH + RO2C \rightarrow RO2C + 1/2 MEK (& a similar reaction for RO2XC)

The rate constants for these reactions should be the same as the rate constant for the corresponding reactions of RO2C or RO2XC. As with the other operators, an alternative method, requiring fewer reactions, is implemented in this table. In this case, the coefficient "RO2HO2" is used to determine the rate of formation of organic hydroperoxides, "RO2RO2M" to determine the rate of formation of H-shift disproportion products, and "RO2RO" is used to represent processes where these products are is not formed. Note that the latter is the same as the coefficient that is used to represent the formation products from the xPROD species. These are calculated as follows, where the k(RO2+...)'s refer to the rate constants for the reactions of RO2C or RO2XC with the indicated reactant.

RO2HO2 = k(RO2+HO2)[HO2] RO2RO2M = k(RO2+MECO3){[MECO3]+[RCO3]+[BZCO3]+ [MACO3]) + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+ [RO2XC]) RO2RO = k(RO2+NO)[NO] + k(RO2+NO3)[NO3] + 0.5 k(RO2+MEO2)[MEO2] + 0.5 k(RO2+RO2){[RO2C]+[RO2XC])

The steady state approximation must be used for these operators when this representation is used, and the operators must not be allowed to be diluted or transported.

Phot File	Chamber		A	Ambient s	Photoly imulation	sis rates (s (as func	(min-1) tion of so	lar zenith	angle) [b	0]	
1 100 1 110	[a]	Z=0	Z=10	Z=20	Z=30	Z=40	Z=50	Z=60	Z=70	Z=78	Z=86
Base Mechan	uism [c]										
NO2-06	0.115	0.723	0.718	0.702	0.676	0.631	0.560	0.430	0.253	0.093	0.005
NO3NO-06	2.44e-4	1.91e+0	1.91e+0	1.90e+0	1.89e+0	1.87e+0	1.82e+0	1.65e+0	1.37e+0	9.15e-1	4.85e-1
NO3NO2-6	4.83e-2	1.54e+1	1.54e+1	1.53e+1	1.52e+1	1.49e+1	1.44e+1	1.29e+1	1.03e+1	6.50e+0	2.80e+0
O3O1D-06	1.83e-4	3.06e-3	2.96e-3	2.68e-3	2.24e-3	1.67e-3	1.06e-3	4.91e-4	1.33e-4	2.01e-5	3.66e-7
O3O3P-06	4.84e-4	3.66e-2	3.66e-2	3.62e-2	3.57e-2	3.48e-2	3.32e-2	2.95e-2	2.37e-2	1.57e-2	8.36e-3
HONO-06	2.81e-2	1.14e-1	1.13e-1	1.10e-1	1.06e-1	9.78e-2	8.54e-2	6.38e-2	3.55e-2	1.18e-2	4.32e-4
HNO3	4.42e-6	5.40e-5	5.28e-5	4.91e-5	4.31e-5	3.49e-5	2.50e-5	1.40e-5	4.99e-6	9.91e-7	2.29e-8
HNO4-06	6.66e-5	5.42e-4	5.32e-4	5.01e-4	4.52e-4	3.81e-4	2.90e-4	1.77e-4	7.28e-5	1.70e-5	4.56e-7
H2O2	9.00e-5	5.64e-4	5.56e-4	5.29e-4	4.86e-4	4.21e-4	3.33e-4	2.14e-4	9.43e-5	2.35e-5	6.64e-7
PAN	7.17e-6	6.12e-5	6.00e-5	5.65e-5	5.08e-5	4.26e-5	3.22e-5	1.95e-5	7.90e-6	1.81e-6	4.80e-8
HCHOR-06	2.53e-4	2.76e-3	2.72e-3	2.59e-3	2.36e-3	2.03e-3	1.58e-3	9.85e-4	4.05e-4	9.08e-5	2.35e-6
HCHOM-06	6.12e-4	3.12e-3	3.08e-3	2.97e-3	2.77e-3	2.47e-3	2.02e-3	1.37e-3	6.41e-4	1.69e-4	5.00e-6
CCHO_R	2.53e-5	4.16e-4	4.06e-4	3.75e-4	3.27e-4	2.60e-4	1.81e-4	9.50e-5	2.99e-5	4.86e-6	8.30e-8
C2CHO	1.05e-4	1.40e-3	1.37e-3	1.28e-3	1.14e-3	9.29e-4	6.74e-4	3.80e-4	1.36e-4	2.62e-5	5.79e-7
ACET-06	3.85e-6	6.47e-5	6.28e-5	5.69e-5	4.78e-5	3.60e-5	2.32e-5	1.10e-5	3.05e-6	4.50e-7	7.35e-9
MEK-06	6.96e-5	9.66e-4	9.45e-4	8.80e-4	7.78e-4	6.33e-4	4.56e-4	2.54e-4	8.86e-5	1.66e-5	3.53e-7
COOH	7.11e-5	3.94e-4	3.89e-4	3.71e-4	3.42e-4	2.99e-4	2.40e-4	1.58e-4	7.21e-5	1.89e-5	5.51e-7
GLY-07R	9.60e-4	9.06e-3	9.00e-3	8.78e-3	8.44e-3	7.86e-3	6.97e-3	5.39e-3	3.29e-3	1.35e-3	1.31e-4
GLY-07M	4.40e-4	3.18e-3	3.14e-3	3.00e-3	2.78e-3	2.44e-3	1.98e-3	1.33e-3	6.41e-4	1.91e-4	8.80e-6
MGLY-06	1.02e-3	1.56e-2	1.56e-2	1.52e-2	1.47e-2	1.38e-2	1.24e-2	9.83e-3	6.27e-3	2.72e-3	2.87e-4
BACL-07	2.06e-3	2.67e-2	2.66e-2	2.61e-2	2.54e-2	2.40e-2	2.18e-2	1.75e-2	1.12e-2	4.81e-3	4.67e-4
BALD-06	1.32e-2	5.10e-2	5.05e-2	4.88e-2	4.61e-2	4.17e-2	3.52e-2	2.49e-2	1.26e-2	3.71e-3	1.17e-4
AFG1	6.82e-2	3.87e-1	3.83e-1	3.70e-1	3.50e-1	3.17e-1	2.69e-1	1.94e-1	1.04e-1	3.51e-2	1.99e-3
MACR-06	3.43e-5	1.97e-4	1.94e-4	1.86e-4	1.72e-4	1.51e-4	1.21e-4	7.98e-5	3.64e-5	9.42e-6	2.74e-7
MVK-06	1.32e-5	7.50e-5	7.40e-5	7.07e-5	6.54e-5	5.73e-5	4.60e-5	3.02e-5	1.37e-5	3.51e-6	1.01e-7
IC3ONO2	1.82e-5	2.35e-4	2.30e-4	2.15e-4	1.91e-4	1.57e-4	1.15e-4	6.57e-5	2.41e-5	4.80e-6	1.11e-7

 Table A-3.
 Summary of photolysis rates used in chamber and ambient simulations.

[a] Photolysis rates for a chamber experiment with blacklight light source. The chamber photolysis rates are for the experiments carried out for this project.

[b] See Carter (1994) for documentation of solar actinic fluxes used in the atmospheric reactivity calculations.

[c] Calculated using absorption coefficients and cross sections given by Carter (2009)